

EMBEDDING OF THE OPERATOR SPACE OH AND THE LOGARITHMIC ‘LITTLE GROTHENDIECK INEQUALITY’

MARIUS JUNGE[†]

ABSTRACT. Using free random variables we find an embedding of the operator space OH in the predual of a von Neumann algebra. The properties of this embedding allow us to determine the projection constant of OH_n , i.e. there exists a projection $P : B(\ell_2) \rightarrow OH_n$ such that

$$\frac{1}{96} \sqrt{\frac{n}{1 + \ln n}} \leq \|P\|_{cb} \leq 144\pi \sqrt{\frac{n}{1 + \ln n}}.$$

According to recent results of Pisier/Shlyahtenko, the lower bound holds for every projection. Improving a previous estimate of order $(1 + \ln n)$ of the author, Pisier/Shlyahtenko obtained the following ‘logarithmic little Grothendieck inequality’

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u(x_k)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 96\sqrt{1 + \ln n} \|u\|_{cb} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes \bar{x}_k \right\|_{B(H) \otimes_{min} \bar{B}(H)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

which holds for all linear maps $u : B(\ell_2) \rightarrow OH$ and vectors $x_1, \dots, x_n$. We find a second proof of this inequality which explains why the factor $\sqrt{1 + \ln n}$ is indeed necessary. In particular the operator space version of the ‘little Grothendieck inequality’ fails to hold. This ‘logarithmic little Grothendieck’ inequality characterizes C^* -algebras with the weak expectation property of Lance.

Plan:

0. Introduction and Notation.
1. Preliminaries.
2. A logarithmic characterization of C^* -algebras with WEP.
3. Pusz/Woronowicz’ formula and the operator space OH .
4. The projection constant of the operator space OH_n .
5. Norm calculations in a quotient space.
6. K -functionals associated to a states and conditional expectations.
7. Sums of free mean zero variables.
8. Appendix: Concrete realization of OH as functionals.

[†] Junge is partially supported by the National Science Foundation DMS-0301116.

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

Probabilistic techniques and concepts play an important role in the theory of Banach spaces and operator algebras. For example Khintchine's inequality and its application to absolutely summing sequences in L_p spaces, the Grothendieck inequality and the 'little Grothendieck inequality' are classical, fundamental tools in the theory of Banach spaces. An analogue of Grothendieck's work in the context of operator algebras and operator spaces, the so-called 'Grothendieck program', inspired important results in operator algebras and motivates new research in operator spaces. Let us mention Connes' [C1] characterization of injective von Neumann algebras, Pisier/Haagerup's noncommutative version of Grothendieck's inequality (see e.g. [Ps1]), a noncommutative Grothendieck inequality for exact operator spaces in [JP] and very recently Pisier and Shlyakhtenko's [PS] Grothendieck theorem for operator spaces. In our context Effros/Ruan's [ER1] notion of 1-summing maps in operator spaces is a further important example of this concept.

In this paper, we show that the operator space OH introduced by Pisier also admits a probabilistic realization in the predual of a von Neumann algebra. The classical gaussian random variables and semicircular random variables in a tracial probability space are too commutative and have to be replaced by linear combinations of free semicircular random variables in a non-tracial setting. Indeed, after the first draft of this paper circulated Pisier [Ps7] shows the surprising fact that OH does embed in the predual of tracial probability spaces. Using the non-tracial embedding, we follow the Banach space approach and are then able to determine the projection constant of the operator space OH_n by calculating the norm of a canonical tensor in the operator space projective tensor product. This is intimately connected to the 'little Grothendieck inequality' for operator spaces and we can determine the right order for both inequalities.

The 'little Grothendieck inequality' can be derived from Grothendieck's inequality but also admits a very simple probabilistic proof, whose roots might already been known to Orlicz. Indeed, by duality it is sufficient to consider a linear map $u : \ell_2^n \rightarrow L_1[0, 1]$. Using Rademacher variables (ε_i) , we deduce for vectors $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \ell_2^n$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (0.1) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |u(x_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_1 &\leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i u(x_i) \right\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \|u\| \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i x_i \right\|_2 \\
 &\leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \|u\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then duality yields the 'little Grothendieck inequality' (for Banach spaces). This proof inspired our approach to the operator space version of the 'little Grothendieck inequality'. The strategy suggested by this approach is to find a good embedding of $OH_n \subset L_1(N)$ (using noncommutative gaussian random variables) and then compare the norm of matrices in $OH_n \otimes OH_n \subset L_1(N \otimes N)$ with the norm in $\ell_2^{n^2}$. Following the commutative philosophy a good embedding (with 'gaussian' variables) will automatically provide the 'little Grothendieck inequality'.

Let us recall basic operator space notations in order to make these statements more precise. An operator space F comes either with a concrete isometric embedding $\iota : F \rightarrow B(H)$ or with a sequence $(\|\cdot\|_m)$ of matrix norms on $(M_m(F))$ derived from this embedding such that

$$\|[x_{ij}]\|_{M_m(F)} = \|\iota(x_{ij})\|_{B(H^m)}.$$

Ruan's axioms tell us that whenever we have a sequence $(\|\cdot\|_m)$ on $(M_m(F))$

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{n+m} = \max\{\|x\|_n, \|y\|_m\} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \left[\sum_{k,l} a_{kl} x_{kl} b_{lj} \right] \right\|_m \leq \|a\| \|x\|_m \|b\|$$

then this can be obtained from some concrete embedding $\iota : F \rightarrow B(H)$. Since a C^* -algebra A admits a representation as operators on a Hilbert space and the norms of the C^* -algebra $M_m(A)$ are uniquely determined by this embedding, it carries a natural operator space structure. In the theory of operator spaces, hilbertian operator spaces are of particular interest. For example the column and row spaces of matrices

$$H^c = B(\mathbb{C}, H) \subset B(H) \quad \text{and} \quad H^r = B(H, \mathbb{C}) \subset B(H)$$

play a fundamental rôle. Pisier discovered that the sequence of norms on $M_m \otimes \ell_2$ obtained by the complex interpolation method

$$M_m(OH) = [M_m(\ell_2^c), M_m(\ell_2^r)]_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

defines a sequence of matrix norms on $M_m \otimes \ell_2$ satisfying Ruan's axioms. By Ruan's theorem these interpolation norms are induced by a sequence of operators $(T_k) \subset B(\ell_2)$ satisfying

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \otimes T_k \right\|_{M_m(B(\ell_2))} = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \otimes \bar{a}_k \right\|_{M_m \otimes M_m}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sup_{\|a\|_2, \|b\|_2 \leq 1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{tr}(a x_k b x_k^*) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all sequences $(a_k) \in M_m$. The *operator Hilbert space* OH is defined to be the span of the (T_k) 's. It is still unclear how to construct 'concrete' operators (T_k) satisfying this equality, but it is our aim to shed some light on this question. In view of the Khintchine's inequality, it maybe more natural to expect OH as a subspace of a noncommutative L_1 spaces, rather than finding directly the appropriate embedding in a noncommutative L_{∞} space. Since operator spaces are closed under taking dual spaces, noncommutative L_1 spaces carry a natural operator space structure which we now recall. The morphisms in the category of operator space are *completely bounded maps* $u : E \rightarrow F$ where

$$\|u\|_{cb} = \sup_m \|id_{M_m} \otimes u : M_m(E) \rightarrow M_m(F)\|.$$

The space of completely bounded maps is denoted by $CB(E, F)$. Then the *standard dual* E^* of an operator space E is given by the matrix norms on $M_m(E^*)$ by

$$M_m(E^*) = CB(E, M_m) \quad \text{isometrically.}$$

Indeed, a matrix of functionals $[x_{ij}^*]$ induces a natural operator $u : E \rightarrow M_m$ defined by $u(e) = [x^*(e)]_{ij}$. Note that OH is the only selfdual operator space, this means the mapping $id : OH \rightarrow OH^*$, $id(e_k) = e_k^*$ has cb-norm 1. Here, (e_k) (e_k^*) denotes the sequence of the standard unit vector basis and their biorthogonal functionals, i.e. $e_k^*(e_j) = \delta_{kj}$ (see [Ps4]). Motivated by the commutative approach we could show in preliminary version of this paper that there is a linear map $u : OH_n \rightarrow A^*$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C(1 + \log n)} \|x\|_{M_m(OH_n)} \leq \|(id_{M_m} \otimes u)(x)\|_{M_m(A^*)} \leq C(1 + \log n) \|x\|_{M_m(OH_n)}.$$

Moreover, for every $u : B(H) \rightarrow OH$

$$(0.2) \quad \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u(x_k)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(1 + \ln n) \|u\|_{cb} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes \bar{x}_k \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B(H)$. Pisier/ Shlyakhtenko's [PS] Grothendieck theorem for operator space implies that a map $u : B(H) \rightarrow OH$ is completely bounded if and only if there exists a state ϕ and a constant C such that

$$(0.3) \quad \|u(x)\| \leq C [\phi(x^*x)\phi(xx^*)]^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

for all $x \in B(H)$. This can be understood as an the interpolation inequality with respect to real interpolation method and index $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. By a well-known application of the Grothendieck-Pietsch factorization technique [Ps5], the operator space 'little Grothendieck inequality' provides an interpolation inequality with respect to the complex method with index $\frac{1}{2}$. Pisier/ Shlyakhtenko's Grothendieck [PS] derived from (0.3) a logarithmic version

$$(0.4) \quad \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u(x_k)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \sqrt{1 + \ln n} \|u\|_{cb} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes \bar{x}_k \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In this paper, we will provide a second proof of (0.4) and an embedding of OH . A key new ingredient (compared to our preliminary version) is a formula of Pusz/Woronowicz (and its new dual version) for the root of sesquilinear forms, see [PW]. We refer to section 3 for more details on this formula, but we will indicate here its consequences for OH . Following Pusz/Woronowicz we use the the probability measure $d\mu(t) = \frac{dt}{\pi\sqrt{t(1-t)}}$ on $[0, 1]$ and the two measures $d\nu_1(t) = t^{-1}d\mu(t)$, $d\nu_2(t) = (1-t)^{-1}d\mu(t)$. Then the direct sum

$$E = L_2^c(\nu_1; \ell_2) \oplus L_2^r(\nu_2; \ell_2)$$

is an operator space. On E , we define the map $Q : E \rightarrow L_0(\mu; \ell_2)$ by

$$Q(x_1, x_2)(t) = x_1(t) + x_2(t) \in \ell_2.$$

Using Ruan's theorem, we know that operator spaces are closed by taking quotients. Indeed, the matrix norm on the *operator space quotient* E/S is given by

$$M_m(E/S) = M_m(E)/M_m(S)$$

which is easily seen to satisfy Ruan's axioms. Therefore, we obtain an operator space

$$G = E/\ker Q.$$

Then, we may consider the subspace $F \subset G$ of equivalence classes $(x_1, x_2) = \ker Q$ such that $x_1 + x_2$ is a μ -a.e. a constant element in ℓ_2 .

Theorem 1. *F is 2 completely isomorphic to OH.*

Using Voiculescu's concept of free probability and an operator valued extension of Voiculescu's inequality for sums of independent random variables [Vo], we obtain free noncommutative gaussian variables in a non-tracial situation and an embedding of G in the predual of a von Neumann algebra. In the appendix we provide a concrete realization of the underlying von Neumann algebra N , a type III₁ factor with a free quasi-free state in the sense of Shlyakhtenko [S1] obtained

from μ , ν_1 and ν_2 . This concrete realization provides linear combinations of semi-circular random variables representing OH when restricted to N . They are obtained from a central limit procedure which justifies the term free non-tracial free gaussian variables. For our applications to the projection constant, we also have to know that the underlying von Neumann algebra is QWEP. Let us recall that a C^* -algebra has the weak expectation property (WEP) if there exists a complete contraction $P : B(H) \rightarrow A^{**}$ such that $P|_A = id_A$. A C^* -algebra is QWEP if it is the quotient $A = B/I$ of a C^* -algebra with WEP by a two-sided ideal. It is an open problem whether every C^* -algebra is QWEP, see [Ki2].

Theorem 2. *G completely isomorphic to a complemented complemented subspace of the predual of von Neumann algebra with QWEP.*

Since OH is completely isomorphic to a subspace of G , we immediately obtain the non-commutative analogue of the gaussian embedding of ℓ_2 .

Corollary 3. *OH completely embeds into the predual of a von Neumann algebra N with QWEP.*

In view of the discussion after (0.1), we should expect that a ‘good’ embedding of OH provides the ‘little Grothendieck inequality’. At this point the analogy breaks down, or more precisely produces different norms than in the classical case. Indeed, the norm of matrices on $OH_n \otimes OH_n$ viewed as a subspace of $L_1(N \otimes N)$ turns out to be too big. This can be expressed using basic operator space tensor norms. The *injective tensor norm* between two operator spaces $E \subset B(H)$, $F \subset B(K)$ is defined by

$$E \otimes_{min} F \subset B(H \otimes K) .$$

Note that for finite dimensional operator spaces E or F we have $E^* \otimes_{min} F = CB(E, F)$. The *projective tensor norm* $E \hat{\otimes} F$ is defined such that

$$(E \hat{\otimes} F)^* = CB(E, F^*) \cong CB(F, E^*)$$

holds isometrically. The *operator 1-summing norm* of a linear map $u : E \rightarrow F$ is defined as

$$\pi_1^o(u) = \left\| id \otimes u : \mathcal{K}^* \otimes_{min} E \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^* \hat{\otimes} F \right\| .$$

In this definition Effros and Ruan followed Grothendieck’s approach replacing the space c_0 by the quantized analogue $\mathcal{K}$, the compact operators on ℓ_2 .

Theorem 4. *Let (f_i) the canonical unit vector basis in F . Let $u : OH \rightarrow OH$ be a linear map represented by the matrix $[a_{ij}]$, then*

$$\frac{1}{C} \pi_1^o(u) \leq \left\| \sum_{ij} a_{ij} f_i \otimes f_j \right\|_{G \hat{\otimes} G} \leq C \pi_1^o(u) .$$

The proof of Theorem 4 uses the complementation from Theorem 2, an embedding of $G \hat{\otimes} G$ in $M_* \hat{\otimes} M_*$ and the QWEP property of M_* . The final punch line are norm calculations in $G \hat{\otimes} G$, the quotient of (four) classical Banach spaces, see section 5.

Proposition 5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \sqrt{n(1 + \ln n)} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes f_i \right\|_{G \hat{\otimes} G} \leq 16 \sqrt{n(1 + \ln n)}.$$

A classical application of trace duality relates the ‘little Grothendieck inequality’ to the projection constant of OH_n .

Theorem 6. *There exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a projection $P : B(\ell_2) \rightarrow OH_n$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{96} \sqrt{\frac{n}{1 + \ln n}} \leq \|P\|_{cb} \leq 144\pi \sqrt{\frac{2n}{1 + \ln n}}.$$

A lower estimate for the cb -norm of this order for any projection P follows from the logarithmic ‘little Grothendieck inequality’ (0.4).

Corollary 7. *The logarithmic order $\sqrt{1 + \ln n}$ in (0.4) is best possible.*

Finally, let us discuss the class of C^* -algebras which admits the logarithmic ‘little Grothendieck inequality’. C. le Merdy observed that it can not hold for the C^* -algebra generated by the left regular representation of the free groups in countably many generators. Using a (unfortunately unpublished) result of Haagerup, see [Ha], we obtain the following characterization.

Theorem 8. *A C^* algebra A satisfies the logarithmic ‘little Grothendieck inequality’ (0.2) or (0.4) if and only if A is WEP.*

The paper is organized as follows. Preliminary facts, including the operator space structure on $L_1(N)$, are presented in section 1. In section 2, we prove Theorem 8. modulo (0.4). We investigate the Pusz/Woronowicz’s formula and its consequences assuming Theorem 2 in section 3. In section 4, we use Theorem 2 and Theorem 4 in showing that the logarithmic ‘little Grothendieck inequality’ is optimal. The norm estimates for the upper and lower bound of Proposition 5 can be found in section 5. K -functionals and quotients similar as G are a central object in our paper and are discussed in detail in section 6. We provide the probabilistic tools from free probability in section 7. In the appendix, section 8, we show how the central limit procedure leads to a concrete representation. In the forthcoming paper [J4], we will use different but similar probabilistic tools in showing that OH embeds into the predual of a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra.

We would like to thank U. Haagerup on collaboration on Proposition 7.4 and R. Speicher for stressing the consequences of his central limit theorem in this context.

1. PRELIMINARIES

We use standard notation in operator algebras as in [Ta, KR]. In particular, for C^* -algebras $A \subset B(H)$, $B \subset B(K)$, we will denote by $A \otimes_{\min} B \subset B(H \otimes_2 K)$ the induced minimal C^* -norm. Here (and in the following) $H \otimes_2 K \cong S_2(H, K) = HS(H, K)$ stands for the unique tensor product making $H \otimes K$ a Hilbert space (often called the Hilbert-Schmidt norm). If N, M are von Neumann algebras, we denote by $N \bar{\otimes} M$ the closure of $N \otimes M$ in the weak operator topology in $B(H \otimes_2 K)$ (assuming the inclusions are normal). For a C^* -algebra A , we denote by A^{op} the

C^* -algebra defined on the same underlying Banach space but with the reversed multiplication $x \circ y = yx$. By $\bar{A}$, we denote the C^* -algebra obtained by changing the complex multiplication $\lambda.x = \bar{\lambda}x$ on A . Thus A and $\bar{A}$ coincide as real Banach algebras. Then, we see that the map $j : A^{op} \rightarrow \bar{A}$ given by $j(x) = x^*$ is C^* -isomorphism.

We will use the notation $\otimes_\varepsilon, \otimes_\pi$ for smallest, biggest tensor norm on Banach spaces, respectively. Thus for a Hilbert space $H \otimes_\pi H = S_1(H)$ corresponds to the trace class operators and $S_\infty(H) = \mathcal{K}(H) = H \otimes_\varepsilon H$ is used for the compact operators on H . We note the trivial inclusions

$$(1.1) \quad H \otimes_\pi K \subset H \otimes_2 K \subset H \otimes_\varepsilon K.$$

We also assume the reader to be familiar with standard operator space terminology and refer to [ER2, Ps6] for more details. We will need some basic facts about the column Hilbert space $H^c = B(\mathbb{C}, H)$ and the row Hilbert space $H^r = B(H, \mathbb{C})$ of a given Hilbert space H . Given an element $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_m(H^c)$, we observe that

$$(1.2) \quad \|x\|_{M_m(H^c)} = \|x\|_{B(\ell_2^m, \ell_2^m(H))} = \|x^*x\|_{B(\ell_2^m)}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\| \left[\sum_k (x_{ki}, x_{kj}) \right]_{ij} \right\|_{M_m}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Here (x, y) denotes the scalar product of x and y in H . In this paper, we will assume the scalar product to be antilinear in the first component. Similarly, we have

$$(1.3) \quad \|x\|_{M_m(H^r)} = \left\| \left[\sum_k (x_{ik}, x_{jk}) \right]_{ij} \right\|_{M_m}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We refer to [Ps4] for more details on the operator Hilbert space $H^{oh} = [H^c, H^r]_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and interpolation norms. In particular, let us consider $H = \ell_2$ and denote by (e_k) the natural unit vector basis. Then for all sequences $(x_k) \subset B(H)$ with associated linear map $u : OH \rightarrow B(H)$ defined by $u(e_k) = x_k$ we have

$$(1.4) \quad \|u : OH \rightarrow Im(u)\|_{cb} = \|u\|_{cb} = \left\| \sum_k x_k \otimes \bar{x}_k \right\|_{B(H) \otimes_{min} \bar{B}(H)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

H^c, H^r and H^{oh} are homogeneous hilbertian operator space, i.e. for $s \in \{c, r, oh\}$ and every bounded linear map $u : H^s \rightarrow H^s$, we have

$$\|u\|_{cb} = \|u\|.$$

In terms of general operator space notation, let us recall that a complete contraction $u : E \rightarrow F$ is given by a completely bounded map with $\|u\|_{cb} \leq 1$. As usual if $G = E/F$ is a quotient operator space (i.e. $M_m(G) = M_m(E)/M_m(F)$ isometrically) and $T : E \rightarrow X$ is a complete contraction which vanishes on F , then T induces a unique map $\hat{T} : E/F \rightarrow X$ which is still a complete contraction and defined by $\hat{T}(x + F) = T(x)$. We refer to the introduction for the definition of the projective and injective operator space tensor products. The operator space projective tensor product is projective, i.e. $E/F \hat{\otimes} X$ is a quotient of $E \hat{\otimes} X/F \hat{\otimes} X$. However, if N is an injective von Neumann algebra, we also have an isometric inclusion

$$(1.5) \quad N_* \hat{\otimes} E_1 \subset N_* \hat{\otimes} E_2$$

whenever $E_1 \subset E_2$ (completely isometrically). (This can easily be deduced from Wittstock's extension theorem and using $(N_* \hat{\otimes} E)^* = CB(E, N)$.)

As for Banach spaces, we have direct sums $E \oplus_p F$ of given operator spaces E and F . However, we should be careful in defining the operator space structure. Indeed, for $p = \infty$ and a matrix $[x_{kl}]$ with $x_{kl} = (e_{kl}, f_{kl})$, we have

$$\|[x_{kl}]\|_{M_m[E \oplus_\infty F]} = \max\{\|[e_{kl}]\|_{M_m(E)}, \|[f_{kl}]\|_{M_m(F)}\}.$$

The operator space $E \oplus_1 F$ is defined by its canonical inclusion in $(E^* \oplus_\infty F^*)^*$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we define the operator space structure by complex interpolation

$$E \oplus_p F = [E \oplus_\infty F, E \oplus_1 F]_{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We will recall some basic facts about Haagerup's L_p spaces and refer to [H1, H2] and [Te] for references on operator valued weights and basic properties of the Haagerup L_p spaces. Let ϕ be a normal, semifinite faithful weight on N with modular automorphism group σ_t^ϕ . The crossed product $N \rtimes_{\sigma_t^\phi} \mathbb{R}$ is the von Neumann algebra generated in $B(L_2(\mathbb{R}, H))$ by $\pi(N)$, $(\lambda(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ where

$$\lambda(s)\xi(t) = \xi(t-s) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(x)\xi(t) = \sigma_{-t}^\phi(x)\xi(t).$$

Then the modular automorphism group satisfies

$$\pi(\sigma_t(x)) = \lambda(t)\pi(x)\lambda(t)^*$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in M$. The dual action $\theta : N \rtimes_{\sigma_t^\phi} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow N \rtimes_{\sigma_t^\phi} \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\theta_s(x) = W(t)xW(t)^* \quad \text{where} \quad W(s)(\xi)(t) = e^{-ist}\xi(s)$$

is the unitary implemented by the Fourier transformation. Then N appears as the fixpoint algebra with respect to the action θ

$$\pi(N) = \{x \in M \rtimes \mathbb{R} \mid \theta_s(x) = x \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

A crucial ingredient in the Haagerup L_p space is the operator valued weight $T : N \rtimes_{\sigma_t^\phi} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow N$ given by

$$T(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta_s(x) ds.$$

It turns out that $\sigma_t^{\phi \circ T}$ is implemented by the unitary $\lambda(t)$ and therefore (see [PT]) there exists a normal semifinite faithful trace τ on $N \rtimes_{\sigma_t^\phi} \mathbb{R}$ and a positive selfadjoint operator h affiliated with $N \rtimes_{\sigma_t^\phi} \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\phi \circ T(x) = \tau(hx)$$

for all $x \in N \rtimes_{\sigma_t^\phi} \mathbb{R}$ and $h^{it} = \lambda(t)$. Let us note that τ is the uniquely determined trace satisfying $\theta_s \circ \tau = e^{-s}\tau$. Following Haagerup, we recall the following definition

$$L_p(N) = L_p(N, \phi) = \{x \mid x \text{ } \tau\text{-measurable and } \theta_s(x) = \exp(-\frac{s}{p})x\}.$$

The (quasi-) norm on $L_p(N, \phi)$ is given by

$$\|x\|_p = \text{tr}(|x|^p)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is shown in [Te] that $L_p(N, \phi)$ and $L_p(N, \psi)$ are isomorphic whenever ϕ and ψ are normal, faithful, semifinite weights. Let us note that Hölder's inequality

$$x \in L_p(N) \quad , \quad y \in L_q(N) \quad \Rightarrow \quad xy \in L_r(N) \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$$

holds in the context of Haagerup L_p spaces:

$$\|xy\|_r \leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_q .$$

For $p = 2$, we obtain a Hilbert space $L_2(N)$ with scalar product $(x, y) = \text{tr}(x^*y)$. We will use the notation $L_2^s(N)$ instead of $L_2(N)^s$ for $s \in \{c, r, oh\}$.

In the theory of operator spaces it is customary to use the duality

$$\langle [x_{ij}^*], [x_{ij}] \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_{ij}^*(x_{ij})$$

between matrices $[x_{ij}^*] \in S_1^n \hat{\otimes} X^*$ and $[x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$. Unfortunately this is not consistent with the trace tr on $n \times n$ -matrices, which correspond to

$$\langle [x_{ij}^*], [x_{ij}] \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_{ij}^*(x_{ji}) .$$

This forces us to define the *operator space structure* on $L_1(N)$ by its action on N^{op} . Since N and N^{op} coincides as Banach spaces, we may consider $\iota : L_1(N) \rightarrow (N^{op})^*$ defined by

$$\iota(d)(y) = \text{tr}(dy) = \phi_d(y) .$$

Here ϕ_d is the linear functional associated to the density d in $L_1(N)$. Clearly, $\iota(L_1(N)) \subset N_*^{op}$. If ϕ is a normal semifinite weight then $\phi_n = \text{tr} \otimes \phi$ is a normal semifinite weight on $M_n(N)$. Moreover, $\text{tr} \otimes \tau$ is the unique trace satisfying $\text{tr} \otimes \tau \circ \theta_s = e^{-s} \text{tr} \otimes \tau$ and $\text{tr}_n \otimes \text{tr} : L_1(M_n(N), \text{tr} \otimes \phi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ still yields the evaluation at 1. Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|[x_{ij}]\|_{S_1^n \hat{\otimes} N_*^{op}} &= \sup_{\|[y_{ij}]\|_{M_n(N^{op})} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \iota(x_{ij})(y_{ij}) \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|[y_{ij}]\|_{M_n(N)} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \text{tr}(y_{ji}x_{ij}) \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|[y_{ij}]\|_{M_n(N)} \leq 1} |\text{tr}_n \otimes \text{tr}([y_{ij}][x_{ij}])| \\ &= \|[x_{ij}]\|_{L_1(M_n \otimes N, \text{tr} \otimes \tau)} . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the use of N^{op} enables us to ‘untwist’ the duality bracket and we have

$$(1.6) \quad S_1^n \hat{\otimes} L_1(N, \phi) = L_1(M_n \otimes N, \text{tr}_n \otimes \phi)$$

Here we distinguish between the predual N_*^{op} and the ‘concrete’ realization of this space as space of operators in $L_1(N, \phi)$. If N happens to be semifinite, we have a canonical map $i_p : L_p(N, \tau) \rightarrow L_p^{Haagerup}(N, \tau)$ (see [Te] for the connection in this case) such that

$$\text{tr}(i_p(x)i_{p'}(y)) = \tau(xy) .$$

In particular, for $p = 1$ the map $\iota_1 : L_1(N, \tau) \rightarrow N_*^{op}$ is given by

$$\iota_1(x)(y) = \tau(xy) .$$

Thus

$$S_1^n \hat{\otimes} L_1(N, \tau) = L_1(M_n \otimes N, \text{tr}_n \otimes \tau)$$

remains true if we read $L_1(N, \tau)$ and $L_1(M_n \otimes N, \text{tr}_n \otimes \tau)$ according to their definition for semifinite von Neumann algebras (see e.g. [Ta]). This also applies for $N = M_n$ and thus the mapping $I_n : S_1^n \rightarrow L_1(M_n, \tau_n)$ given by $I_n(x) = nx$ and yields a complete isometry.

In harmonic analysis it is often more convenient to use the anti-linear duality bracket

$$\langle\langle y, x \rangle\rangle = \tau(y^*x) .$$

This corresponds to an embedding $\bar{\iota} : L_1(N, \phi) \rightarrow \bar{N}_*$. The map $\bar{\iota} : L_1(N, \tau) \rightarrow \bar{N}_*$ given by

$$(1.7) \quad \bar{\iota}(x)(y) = \text{tr}(y^*x)$$

is a complete isometry onto $\bar{N}_*$. Indeed, this follows immediately from the fact that $J : \bar{N} \rightarrow N^{op}$ defined by $J(x) = x^*$ is an normal *-isomorphism.

An important result of Effros and Ruan [ER2] shows that the projective tensor is compatible with von Neumann algebras. Indeed, given von Neumann algebras N and M then

$$(1.8) \quad (N_* \hat{\otimes} M_*)^* = N \bar{\otimes} M .$$

Using the opposite algebras this also provides a natural isomorphism

$$(1.9) \quad L_1(M \otimes N) \cong L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} L_1(N) .$$

Note again, that this remains true for semifinite von Neumann algebras. We will conclude these preliminaries by collecting further facts about the operator space projective tensor product in connection with L_1 spaces. First, we note that in the category of L_1 spaces the row Hilbert space can be represented by columns and vice versa: Namely, let (e_j) be the standard vector basis on ℓ_2 , then for a finite sequence $(x_j) \in L_1(N)$

$$(1.10) \quad \left\| \sum_j x_j \otimes e_j \right\|_{L_1(N) \hat{\otimes} \ell_2^r} = \left\| \left(\sum_j x_j^* x_j \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_1(N)} .$$

Indeed, by duality we have

$$(L_1(N) \hat{\otimes} \ell_2^r)^* = CB(\ell_2^r, L_1(N)^*) = CB(\ell_2^r, N^{op}) .$$

Thus, we deduce from (1.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_j x_j \otimes e_j \right\|_{L_1(N) \hat{\otimes} \ell_2^r} &= \sup_{\|u : \ell_2^r \rightarrow N^{op}\|_{cb} \leq 1} \left| \sum_j \langle \iota(x_j), u(e_j) \rangle \right| \\ &= \sup_{\left\| \sum_j y_j^* y_j \right\|_{N^{op}} \leq 1} \left| \sum_j \text{tr}(y_j x_j) \right| = \sup_{\left\| \sum_j y_j y_j^* \right\|_N \leq 1} \left| \sum_j \text{tr}(y_j x_j) \right| \\ &= \left\| \left(\sum_j x_j^* x_j \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_1(N)} . \end{aligned}$$

Note that on the level of $L_1(N)$ -spaces the tensor product with respect to ℓ_2^r , ℓ_2^c corresponds to the columns, rows in $L_1(N \otimes B(\ell_2))$, $L_1(N \otimes B(\ell_2))$, respectively. This ‘switch’ is a well-known

phenomenon for operator spaces on the level of matrices. As an application, we obtain formulas for norms in H^c and H^r . Let $x \in L_1(N) \hat{\otimes} H^r$, $y \in L_1(N) \hat{\otimes} H^c$, then

$$(1.11) \quad \|x\|_{L_1(N) \hat{\otimes} H^r} = \|(x^*, x)\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_{L_1(N) \hat{\otimes} H^c} = \|(x, x^*)\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Indeed, let (e_i) be an orthonormal basis of H . By density, we may assume $x = \sum_i x_i \otimes e_i$. Then, we apply (1.10) and deduce

$$\|x\|_{L_1(N) \hat{\otimes} H^r} = \left\| \left(\sum_i x_i^* x_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_1(N)} = \left\| \sum_i x_i^* x_i \right\|_{L_{\frac{1}{2}}(N)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, we may define the bilinear map

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : \overline{L_1(N) \hat{\otimes} H^r} \times L_1(N) \hat{\otimes} H^r \rightarrow L_{\frac{1}{2}}(N)$$

on elementary tensors as $(a \otimes h, b \otimes k) = (h, k)a^*b$. For finite sums $x = \sum_i x_i \otimes e_i$, we have

$$(1.12) \quad (x, y) = \sum_i x_i^* y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \sum_i x_i^* y_i \right\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left\| \sum_i x_i^* x_i \right\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \sum_i y_i^* y_i \right\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence continuity implies (1.11). In the sequel, we will also use the cb-norm of the multiplication maps $R_b : L_2(N) \rightarrow L_1(N)$ and $L_b : L_2(N) \rightarrow L_1(N)$ for $b \in L_2(N)$:

$$(1.13) \quad \|R_b : L_2^r(N) \rightarrow L_1(N)\|_{cb} = \|b\|_2 = \|L_b : L_2^c(N) \rightarrow L_1(N)\|_{cb}.$$

Indeed, we first note that $(S_1^m \hat{\otimes} H^r)^* = M_m(H^c)$ and (1.12) implies

$$(1.14) \quad \left| \sum_{ij} (y_{ij}, x_{ij}) \right| \leq \| [y_{ij}] \|_{M_m(H^c)} \| [x_{ij}] \|_{S_1^m \hat{\otimes} H^r}.$$

Let $[x_{ij}] \in L_2^r(N)$ be a matrix. Then, we deduce from (1.7) and (1.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \| [x_{ij} b] \|_{S_1^m \hat{\otimes} L_1(N)} &= \sup_{\| [y_{ij}] \|_{M_m(N)} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{ij} \text{tr}(y_{ij}^* x_{ij} b) \right| = \sup_{\| [y_{ij}] \|_{M_m(N)} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{ij} (y_{ij} b^*, x_{ij}) \right| \\ &\leq \| [y_{ij} b^*] \|_{M_m(L_2^c(N))} \| [x_{ij}] \|_{S_1^m \hat{\otimes} L_2^r(N)}. \end{aligned}$$

However, let ϕ be the positive functional $\phi(y) = \text{tr}(y b^* b)$. Then, we deduce from the fact that $\phi : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is completely bounded that

$$\begin{aligned} \| [y_{ij} b^*] \|_{M_m(L_2^c(N))}^2 &= \left\| \left[\sum_k \text{tr}(b y_{ki}^* y_{kj} b^*) \right] \right\|_{M_m} = \left\| \left[\sum_k \phi(y_{ki}^* y_{kj}) \right] \right\|_{M_m} \\ (1.15) \quad &\leq \phi(1) \| y^* y \|_{M_m(N)} \leq \| b \|_2^2 \| y^* y \|_{M_m(N)}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate from below follows from $\| R_b(b^*) \|_1 = \| b^* b \|_1 = \| b \|_2^2$. The proof for the left multiplication is identical.

2. A LOGARITHMIC CHARACTERIZATION OF C^* -ALGEBRAS WITH WEP

We will show that the ‘logarithmic little Grothendieck’ inequality (0.4) (or even (0.2)) only holds for C^* -algebras with WEP. We will assume (0.4) for the C^* -algebra $B(H)$, see [PS] (or section 4 for an independent proof).

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be a C^* -algebra with WEP and $u : A \rightarrow OH$. Then*

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u(x_k)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(1 + \ln n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{cb} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes \bar{x}_k \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

holds for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$.

Proof: Let $A \subset A^{**} \subset B(H)$ and $P : B(H) \rightarrow A^{**}$ be a contraction such that $P|_A = id_A$. Let $j : A^* \rightarrow A^{**}$ be the canonical embedding, then the contraction $E = j^* P^{**} : B(H)^{**} \rightarrow A^{**}$ satisfies $E_{A^{**}} = id_{A^{**}}$. Hence E is a conditional expectation by Sakai’s theorem, see [Ta], and in particular completely contractive. The restriction of E to $B(H)$ coincides with P and in particular P is a complete contraction. Then $v = u^{**}P : B(H) \rightarrow OH_n$ satisfies

$$\|v\|_{cb} \leq \|P\|_{cb} \|u^{**}\|_{cb} \leq \|u\|_{cb}.$$

We can apply (0.4) to v and deduce the assertion from the injectivity of the minimal tensor norm, see (1.4). ■

Lemma 2.2. *Let N be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal semifinite weight ϕ . Let $a, b \in L_4(N)$, then the map $M_{ab} : N \rightarrow L_2^{oh}(N)$ defined by*

$$M_{ab}(x) = axb$$

satisfies

$$\left\| M_{ab} : N \rightarrow L_2^{oh}(N) \right\|_{cb} \leq \|a\|_4 \|b\|_4.$$

Proof: By homogeneity we can assume $\|a\|_4 = \|b\|_4 = 1$. Using the left and right action of N and polar decomposition, we can assume that a, b are positive. Let e and f be the support projections of a, b respectively. We consider $M_2(N)$ and the canonical matrix units e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{21} and e_{22} . Then the support $s(\phi)$ and the density D of the state $\phi(x) = \text{tr}(a^4 e_{11} x e_{11} + b^4 e_{22} x e_{22})$, $x \in M_2(N)$, is given by

$$s(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} a^4 & 0 \\ 0 & b^4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the invariance of $D^{4it} M_2(N) D^{-4it} \subset s(\phi) M_2(N) s(\phi)$, we deduce that $a^{it} x b^{-it} \in N$ for every $x \in eNf$. Let $x \in M_m(eNf)$. We have to show that

$$\|id_{M_m} \otimes v(x)\|_{M_m(L_2^{oh}(N))} \leq \|x\|_{M_m(N)}.$$

We define the complex function $f : \{0 \leq \text{Re } z \leq 1\} \rightarrow M_m \otimes L_2(eNf)$ by

$$f(z) = (1 \otimes a^{2z}) x (1 \otimes b^{2(1-z)}).$$

Observe that for $z = a + ib$ and $x_{kl} \in eNf$

$$a^{2z} x_{kl} b^{2(1-z)} = a^{2a} a^{2ib} x_{kl} b^{-2ib} b^{2-2a} \in L_2(N).$$

It follows from [Te] that $z \mapsto a^{2z}x_{kl}b^{2(1-z)}$ is complex differentiable in the measure topology of $\tilde{N} = N \rtimes_{\sigma_t} \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, using spectral projections $e_n = 1_{[\frac{1}{n}, n]}(a)$ and $f_n = 1_{[\frac{1}{n}, n]}(b)$, we see that $e_n(a \log a)$ and $(b \log b)f_n$ are bounded and hence $e_n a^{2z}x_{kl}b^{2(1-z)}f_n$ is even differentiable in $L_{2,\infty}(\tilde{N})$ in the interior of the strip. By approximation $z \mapsto a^{2z}x_{kl}b^{2(1-z)}$ is analytic with values in $L_{2,\infty}(\tilde{N})$. Since, the topology of $L_2(N)$ is induced by its embedding into $L_{2,\infty}(\tilde{N})$, we see that f is analytic in the interior of the strip. We note that the functional $\phi_b : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\phi_b(x) = \text{tr}(xb^4)$ induces a contractive functional, hence a scalar valued completely contractive map. Since $x \mapsto a^{2it}xb^{-2it}$ is a contraction on $L_2(eNf)$ and thus by homogeneity this map is also a complete contraction on $L_2^c(eNf)$. We deduce from (1.15)

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(it)\|_{M_m(L_2^c(N))} &= \|[a^{2it}x_{kl}b^2b^{-2it}]\|_{M_m(L_2^c(N))} \leq \|[x_{kl}b^2]\|_{M_m(L_2^c(N))} \\ &\leq \text{tr}(b^4) \|[x_{kl}]\|_{M_m(N)} \leq \|x\|_{M_m(N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|f(1+it)\|_{M_m(L_2^r(N))} \leq \|a^4\|_4 \|x\|_{M_m(N)} \leq \|x\|_{M_m(N)}.$$

The three line lemma implies

$$\|(1 \otimes a)x(1 \otimes b)\|_{M_m(L_2^{oh}(N))} \leq \|x\|_{M_m(N)}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof of Theorem 8: According to Lemma 2.1 every C^* -algebra with WEP satisfies the ‘little Grothendieck inequality’ with factor $c\sqrt{1 + \ln n}$. Conversely, we assume that A is a C^* -algebra such that for some $C > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$

$$(2.1) \quad \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u(x_k)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(1 + \ln n)^\alpha \|u\|_{cb} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes \bar{x}_k \right\|_{A \otimes_{\min} \bar{A}}$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ and all $u : A \rightarrow OH$. Let us consider the von Neumann algebra $N = A^{**}$. Recall that N is in standard form on $L_2(N)$, in particular N acts on $L_2(N)$ by left multiplication $\pi(x)h = xh$ and $J(h) = h^*$ is an anti-linear isometry J such that $N' = JNJ$, see [Te]. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ and $h \in L_2(N)$ be a unit vector. Then, we can find $a, b \in L_4(N)$ of norm 1 such that $h = ab$. According to Lemma 2.1, the maps $M_{a^*a} : N \rightarrow L_2^{oh}(N)$ and $M_{bb^*} : N \rightarrow L_2^{oh}(N)$ are complete contractions and therefore we deduce from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad (h, \sum_{j=1}^n x_j J x_j J h) &= (h, \sum_{j=1}^n x_j h x_j^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(h^* x_i h x_i^*) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(a^* x_i a b x_i^* b^*) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|a^* x_i a\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|b x_i b^*\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c^2 (1 + \log n)^{2\alpha} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes \bar{x}_k \right\|_{A \otimes_{\min} \bar{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to eliminate the log-term, we use Haagerup's trick and consider the positive operator

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i J x_i J)^* (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i J x_i J)]^m \\
&= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{2m}=1}^n x_{i_1}^* (J x_{i_1}^* J) x_{i_2} (J x_{i_2} J) \cdots x_{i_{2m-1}}^* (J x_{i_{2m-1}}^* J) x_{i_{2m}} (J x_{i_{2m}} J) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{2m}=1}^n x_{i_1}^* x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{2m-1}}^* x_{i_{2m}} (J x_{i_1}^* x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{2m-1}}^* x_{i_{2m}} J)
\end{aligned}$$

We apply (2.2) for the finite family $x_{i_1, \dots, i_{2m}} = x_{i_1}^* x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{2m-1}}^* x_{i_{2m}}$ and deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i J x_i J \right)^m (h) \right\|^2 &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{2m}=1}^n (h, x_{i_1}^* x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{2m-1}}^* x_{i_{2m}} J x_{i_1}^* x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{2m-1}}^* x_{i_{2m}} J h) \\
&\leq c^2 (1 + \ln n^{2m})^\alpha \left\| \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{2m}=1}^n x_{i_1, \dots, i_{2m}} \otimes \bar{x}_{i_1, \dots, i_{2m}} \right\|_{A \otimes_{\min} \bar{A}} \\
&= c^2 (1 + \ln n^{2m})^\alpha \left\| \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right)^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right) \right]^m \right\|_{A \otimes_{\min} \bar{A}} \\
&= c^2 (1 + 2m \ln n)^\alpha \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\|_{A \otimes_{\min} \bar{A}}^{2m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over $\|h\| \leq 1$, we get

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i J x_i J \right\| \leq c^{\frac{1}{m}} (1 + 2m)^{\frac{\alpha}{2m}} (1 + \ln n)^{\frac{\alpha}{m}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\|_{A \otimes_{\min} \bar{A}}.$$

Taking the limit for $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain (in the language of [Ps2]) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_{x_i} R_{x_i^*} \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i J x_i J \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\|_{A \otimes_{\min} \bar{A}} \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\|_{B(H) \otimes_{\min} \bar{B}(H)} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\|_{B(H) \otimes_{\max} \bar{B}(H)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(Note that the last inequality is indeed an equality). Let us recall an equality proved by Pisier [Ps2, Theorem 2.1] (and [Ha, Theorem 2.9] in the non-semifinite case)

$$(2.3) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\|_{A \otimes_{\max} \bar{A}} = \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_{[R_n(A), C_n(A)]_{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_{x_i} R_{x_i^*} \right\|.$$

This implies

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\|_{A \otimes_{\max} \bar{A}} = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_{x_i} R_{x_i^*} \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\|_{B(H) \otimes_{\max} \bar{B}(H)}.$$

According to [Ha, Theorem 3.7] A has WEP. ■

Remark 2.3. In case of a von Neumann algebra $A = N$, we deduce from Pisier's characterization [Ps4, Theorem 2.9] that N is injective if and only if

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_{[C_n(N), R_n(N)]_{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\|_{N \otimes_{\min} \bar{N}}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

holds for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in N$. In [Ps4] Pisier proved this for semifinite von Neumann algebras, for the general case we refer to [Ps2] that this characterization remains true for arbitrary von Neumann algebras. Thus modulo the results in [Ps2], the argument above provides a complete proof for the fact that the logarithmic ‘little Grothendieck’ characterizes injective von Neumann algebras without appealing to the deep results of Haagerup in [Ha].

3. PUSZ/WORONOWICZ' FORMULA AND THE OPERATOR SPACE OH

We will recall the Pusz/Woronowicz formula for square roots of sesquilinear forms and derive a dual version. This is the key element in identifying OH as a subspace of a quotient of $L_2^c \oplus L_2^r$. Given two sesquilinear forms

$$\alpha(x, x) = (Ax, x) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(x, x) = (Bx, x)$$

for positive *commuting* operators A, B on a Hilbert space H , then according to [PW]

$$(3.1) \quad ((AB)^{\frac{1}{2}}x, x) = \inf_{x=a(t)+b(t)} \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha(a(t), a(t))}{t} + \frac{\alpha(b(t), b(t))}{1-t} \frac{dt}{\pi \sqrt{t(1-t)}}.$$

Here the infimum is taken over piecewise constant functions in H , see [PW, Appendix] for a proof. Pusz/Woronowicz use the symbol

$$\sqrt{\alpha\beta}(x, x) = ((AB)^{\frac{1}{2}}x, x)$$

and show (in a sense which is not obvious from our presentation) that the symbol $\sqrt{\alpha\beta}$ is independent of the ‘representation’ of α, β by A, B , respectively. Let us denote by $H_{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}}$ the Hilbert space H equipped with the scalar product $\sqrt{\alpha\beta}$. In the following, we will use the symbol μ for the probability measure

$$d\mu(t) = \frac{dt}{\pi \sqrt{t(1-t)}}.$$

Let us rewrite equality (3.1) in terms of subspaces and quotients of Hilbert spaces. We define

$$(3.2) \quad d\nu_1(t) = t^{-1}d\mu(t) \quad \text{and} \quad d\nu_2(t) = (1-t)^{-1}d\mu(t)$$

and the Hilbert space $H_1 = L_2(\nu_1, H_\alpha)$, where $\|x\|_{H_\alpha} = \alpha(x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Similarly, $H_2 = L_2(\nu_2, H_\beta)$ with $\|x\|_\beta = \beta(x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If A and B are invertible, the canonical inclusion map $H_\alpha \subset H$ and $H_\beta \subset H$ are continuous and we may define the linear map $Q : H_1 \oplus_2 H_2 \rightarrow L_0(\mu; H)$ by $Q(f, g)(t) = f(t) + g(t) \in H$. We denote by

$$K = H_1 \oplus_2 H_2 / \ker Q$$

the quotient space and

$$E = \{(f, g) + \ker Q \mid Q(f, g) \text{ constant a.e.}\}$$

In this terminology the Pusz/Woronowicz formula reads as follows.

Lemma 3.1. *If A and B are invertible, then $H_{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}}$ is isometrically isomorphic to the subspace $E \subset K$.*

Using the Hahn-Banach theorem, we will derive a second formula for the square root $\sqrt{\alpha\beta}$. We will first characterize the linear functionals on E .

Lemma 3.2. *Let A and B be bounded and invertible. Linear functionals $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are given by a pair $(f, g) \in H_1 \oplus H_2$ such that*

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{Af(t)}{t} = \frac{Bg(t)}{1-t} \quad \mu \text{ a.e.} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(x) = \int_0^1 \left(A \frac{f(t)}{t}, x \right) d\mu(t).$$

Moreover,

$$\|\phi\| = \inf(\|f\|_{H_1}^2 + \|g\|_{H_2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the infimum is taken over all pairs satisfying (3.3).

Proof: By the Hahn-Banach's theorem, the norm one functionals on E are in one to one correspondence with the restrictions of $\hat{\phi}|_E$ of norm one functionals $\hat{\phi} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Moreover,

$$\|\hat{\phi}\| = \|\hat{\phi}Q : H_1 \oplus H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\|.$$

Therefore, norm a norm one functional $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by by a pair $(f, g) \in H_1 \oplus H_2$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \int_0^1 \alpha(f(t), f(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} + \int_0^1 \beta(g(t), g(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} = \|\hat{\phi}\|^2 = \|\phi\|^2 = 1$$

and

$$\hat{\phi}Q(h_1, h_2) = \int_0^1 \alpha(f(t), h_1(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} + \int_0^1 \beta(f(t), h_2(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t}.$$

Since Q vanishes on all pairs $(h, -h)$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^1 \alpha(f(t), h(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} + \int_0^1 \beta(g(t), -h(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(Af(t), h(t) \right) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} + \int_0^1 \left(Bg(t), -h(t) \right) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{Af(t)}{t} - \frac{Bg(t)}{1-t}, h(t) \right) d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $H_\alpha = H_\beta = H$ as Banach spaces, this implies

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{Af(t)}{t} = \frac{Bg(t)}{1-t} \quad \mu \text{ a.e.}$$

We will now calculate how ϕ acts on E . Indeed, let $x \in H$ and note that $x = \sqrt{t}x + (1 - \sqrt{t})x$. It is easily checked that $a(t) = \sqrt{t}$, $b(t) = 1 - \sqrt{t}$ are elements in $L_2(\nu_1)$, $L_2(\nu_2)$, respectively. Thus, we deduce from (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= \hat{\phi}Q(x\sqrt{t}, x(1 - \sqrt{t})) = \int_0^1 (A\frac{f(t)}{t}, \sqrt{t}x) d\mu(t) + \int_0^1 (B\frac{g(t)}{1-t}, (1 - \sqrt{t})x) d\mu(t) \\ (3.6) \quad &= \int_0^1 (A\frac{f(t)}{t}, x) d\mu(t) . \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, any pair (f, g) satisfying (3.5) induces a state $\hat{\phi}$ which vanishes on $\ker Q$. The restriction of $\hat{\phi}$ to E is given by (3.6). $\blacksquare$

Lemma 3.3. *Let A, A^{-1}, B, B^{-1} be bounded. Let $y \in H$, then*

$$((AB)^{\frac{1}{2}}y, y) = \inf \int_0^1 \frac{(Af(t), f(t))}{t} d\mu(t) + \int_0^1 \frac{(Bg(t), g(t))}{1-t} d\mu(t) ,$$

where the infimum is taken over all tuples (f, g) of H -valued measurable functions satisfying $\frac{Af(t)}{t} = \frac{Bg(t)}{1-t}$ μ a.e. and

$$B^{\frac{1}{2}}y = \int_0^1 \frac{A^{\frac{1}{2}}f(t)}{t} d\mu(t) .$$

Proof: Since $\sqrt{\alpha\beta}$ is a positive sesquilinear form, we have

$$\|y\|_{H_{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}}} = ((AB)^{\frac{1}{2}}y, y)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sup_{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}(x, x) \leq 1} |((AB)^{\frac{1}{2}}y, x)| .$$

Thus the norm of y in $H_{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}}$ coincides with the norm of the linear functional

$$\phi_y(x) = ((AB)^{\frac{1}{2}}y, x) .$$

According to Lemma 3.2, we can find (f, g) such that

$$(3.7) \quad ((AB)^{\frac{1}{2}}y, x) = \left(\int_0^1 \frac{Af(t)}{t} d\mu(t), x \right) ,$$

and

$$((AB)^{\frac{1}{2}}y, y) = \|\phi_y\|^2 = \int_0^1 (Af(t), f(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} + \int_0^1 (Bg(t), g(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} .$$

and $A\frac{f(t)}{t} = B\frac{g(t)}{1-t}$ μ a.e. According to Using (3.7) we get

$$B^{\frac{1}{2}}y = \int_0^1 \frac{A^{\frac{1}{2}}f(t)}{t} d\mu(t) .$$

Conversely, any pair satisfying these conditions induces the functional ϕ_y and thus provides an upper estimate for the norm of y . The assertion is proved. $\blacksquare$

Motivated by these formulae, we introduce the following operator space F_n ($n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$) as a subspace of the quotient of $L_2^c(\nu_1, \ell_2^n) \oplus_1 L_2^r(\nu_2, \ell_2^n)$. Indeed, let

$$G_n = L_2^c(\nu_1, \ell_2^n) \oplus_1 L_2^r(\nu_2, \ell_2^n) / \ker Q,$$

where $Q : L_2(\nu_1, \ell_2^n) \oplus_1 L_2(\nu_2, \ell_2^n) \rightarrow L^0(\mu; \ell_2^n)$ is given by

$$Q(f, g)(t) = f(t) + g(t) \in \ell_2^n.$$

Then F_n consists of the equivalence classes $(f, g) + \ker Q \in G_n$ such that $Q(f, g)$ is μ -almost everywhere a constant element in ℓ_2^n . Let us denote by $f_1, \dots, f_n$ the canonical unit vector basis in F_n given by $f_k = (\sqrt{t}e_k, (1 - \sqrt{t})e_k) + \ker Q$. Here $e_1, \dots, e_n$ is the standard unit vector basis in ℓ_2^n . For $n = \infty$, we read $\ell_2^\infty = \ell_2$ and denote the outcome by G and F , respectively. The following lemma is proved using the polar decomposition and the density of invertible matrices.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M_m$, then*

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes \bar{x}_k \right\|_{M_m \otimes_{\min} M_m}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sup_{\|a\|_4 \leq 1, \|b\|_4 \leq 1, a > 0, b > 0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|bx_k a\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Here $a > 0$ means that $a \geq 0$ and a is invertible.

Lemma 3.5. *The natural inclusion map $\text{id} : F_n \rightarrow OH_n$ has cb-norm less $\sqrt{2}$.*

Proof: Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M_m$ and assume $\|\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes f_k\|_{M_m(F_n)} < 1$. Then, there are elements $f \in M_m(L_2^c(\nu_1; \ell_2^n))$ and $g \in M_m(L_2^r(\nu_2; \ell_2^n))$ such that

$$x_k = f_k(t) + g_k(t) \quad \mu \text{ a.e.}$$

and

$$\max \left\{ \left\| \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(t)^* f_k(t) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \right\|_{M_m}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \left\| \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n g_k(t) g_k(t)^* \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \right\|_{M_m}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \leq 1.$$

Let a, b be positive, invertible norm one elements in S_4^m . On the Hilbert space $H = \ell_2^n(S_2^m)$ with scalar product

$$((x_k), (y_k)) = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{tr}(x_k^* y_k)$$

we define $A(x_k) = (x_k a^4)$ and $B(x_k) = (b^4 x_k)$. Clearly, these operators commute and we deduce from (3.1) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \|bx_k a\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{tr}(a^* x_k^* b^* bx_k a) = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{tr}(a^2 x_k^* b^2 x_k) = ((AB)^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_k), (x_k))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \int_0^1 (A(f_k(t)), (f_k)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} + \int_0^1 (B(g_k(t)), (g_k)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \\
 &= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n \text{tr}(a^4 f_k^*(t) f_k(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} + \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n \text{tr}(g_k(t)^* b^4 g_k(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \\
 &= \text{tr} \left(a^4 \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n f_k^*(t) f_k(t) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \right) + \text{tr} \left(b^4 \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n g_k(t) g_k(t)^* \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \right) \\
 &\leq \|a^4\|_1 \left\| \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n f_k^*(t) f_k(t) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \right\|_{M_m} + \|b^4\| \left\| \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n g_k(t) g_k(t)^* \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \right\|_{M_m} \leq 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 3.4, we deduce

$$\|id : F_n \rightarrow OH_n\|_{cb} \leq \sqrt{2}.$$

■

Lemma 3.6. *The natural inclusion map $id : F_n^* \rightarrow OH_n$ has cb-norm less than $\sqrt{2}$.*

Proof: We have to consider a norm one element $z \in M_m(F_n^*) = CB(F_n, M_m)$. Let us denote by $u_z : F_n \rightarrow M_m$ be the corresponding complete contraction. Then we obtain coefficients $z_k = u_z(f_k) \in M_m$ of z with respect to the natural dual basis $f_1^*, \dots, f_n^* \in F_n^*$ satisfying $f_k^*(f_j) = \delta_{kj}$. According to Wittstock's theorem there exists a completely contraction $v : G_n \rightarrow M_m$. Since G_n is a quotient space $vQ : L_2^c(\nu_1, \ell_2^n) \oplus L_2^r(\nu_2, \ell_2^n) \rightarrow M_m$ is a complete contraction. Thus there are $x \in M_m(L_2^r(\nu_1, \ell_2^n))$ and $y \in M_m(L_2^c(\nu_2, \ell_2^n))$ of norm less than 1 such that

$$vQ(h^1, h^2) = \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k(t) h_k^1(t) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} + \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n y_k(t) h_k^2(t) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t}$$

for all $h^1 \in L_2(\nu_1, \ell_2^n)$, $h^2 \in L_2(\nu_2, \ell_2^n)$. Again, we can use the fact that v vanishes on $\ker Q$ and get

$$\frac{x_k(t)}{t} = \frac{y_k(t)}{1-t} \quad \mu \text{ a.e.}$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, n$. In order to identify our original map u_z , we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_k &= u_z(x_k) = vQ(e_k \sqrt{t}, e_k(1 - \sqrt{t})) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{t} x_k(t) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} + \int_0^1 (1 - \sqrt{t}) y_k(t) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \\
 &= \int_0^1 x_k(t) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us consider invertible positive elements $a, b \in S_4^m$. As above, we define $A(z_k)_{k=1}^n = (z_k a^4)_{k=1}^n$ and $B(z_k)_{k=1}^n = (b^4 z_k)_{k=1}^n$ and $\tilde{x}_k(t) = b^2 x_k(t) a^{-2}$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} B^{\frac{1}{2}}((z_k)_{k=1}^n) &= (b^2 z_k)_{k=1}^n = \int_0^1 (b^2 x_k(t))_{k=1}^n \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} = \int_0^1 (b^2 z_k(t) a^{-2})_{k=1}^n a^2 \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \\ &= \int_0^1 A^{\frac{1}{2}}((\tilde{x}_k(t))_{k=1}^n) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand for $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_k)_{k=1}^n$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (A\tilde{x}(t), \tilde{x}(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} &= \int_0^1 (\tilde{x}(t), A\tilde{x}(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} = \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n \text{tr}(\tilde{x}_k^*(t) \tilde{x}_k(t) a^4) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n \text{tr}(a^{-2} x_k^*(t) b^2 b^2 x_k(t) a^{-2} a^4) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \\ &= \text{tr} \left(b^4 \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k(t) x_k^*(t) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \right) \\ &\leq \|b^4\|_1 \left\| \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k(t) x_k^*(t) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \right\|_{M_m} \\ &\leq \|x\|_{M_m(L_2^2(\nu_1, \ell_2^n))}^2 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we define $\tilde{y}_k(t) = b^{-2} y_k(t) a^2$, $\tilde{y}(t) = (\tilde{y}_k(t))_{k=1}^n$ and get

$$\frac{A((\tilde{x}_k(t))_{k=1}^n)}{t} = \frac{(b^2 x_k(t) a^2)_{k=1}^n}{t} = \frac{(b^2 y_k(t) a^2)_{k=1}^n}{1-t} = \frac{B((\tilde{y}_k(t))_{k=1}^n)}{1-t} \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

As above we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (B\tilde{y}(t), \tilde{y}(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} &= \int_0^1 (\tilde{y}(t), B\tilde{y}(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} = \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n \text{tr}(\tilde{y}_k^*(t) b^4 \tilde{y}_k(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n \text{tr}(a^2 y_k^*(t) b^{-2} b^4 b^{-2} y_k(t) a^2) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \\ &= \text{tr} \left(a^4 \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^*(t) y_k(t) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \right) \\ &\leq \|a^4\|_1 \left\| \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^*(t) y_k(t) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \right\|_{M_m} \\ &\leq \|y\|_{M_m(L_2^2(\nu_1, \ell_2^n))}^2 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore Lemma 3.3 implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \|bz_k a\|_2^2 = \left\| (\sqrt{AB}(x_k)) \right\|_2^2 \leq \int_0^1 (A\tilde{x}(t), \tilde{x}(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} + \int_0^1 (B\tilde{y}(t), \tilde{y}(t)) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \leq 2.$$

Since, a, b is arbitrary, we deduce from Lemma 3.4 that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \otimes \bar{z}_k \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{2} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \otimes f_k^* \right\|_{M_m(F_n^*)}.$$

This completes the proof. ■

Corollary 3.7. F_n is 2 completely isomorphic to OH_n .

Proof: Since OH_n is selfdual, see [Ps4], it suffices to observe that by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3

$$\|id : F_n \rightarrow OH_n\|_{cb} \|id : OH_n \rightarrow F_n\|_{cb} = \|id : F_n \rightarrow OH_n\|_{cb} \|id : F_n^* \rightarrow OH_n\|_{cb} \leq 2. \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark 3.8. A similar result holds in the context of L_p spaces. Let us recall the operator spaces $H^{c_p} = [H^c, H^r]_{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $H^{r_p} = [H^r, H^c]_{\frac{1}{p}}$. Let $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $p' \leq q \leq p$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{2p} = 1$. We consider the quotient space $G_n = L_2^{c_p}(\nu_1; \ell_2^n) \oplus_q L_2^{r_p}(\nu_2; \ell_2^n) / \ker Q$ and $F_n^p = Q^{-1}(\{\text{constants}\})$ the subspaces corresponding to constant functions. Using

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \otimes e_k \right\|_{S_p^m[OH_n]} = \sup_{\|a\|_{2r} \leq 1, \|b\|_{2r} \leq 1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|bx_k a\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

we deduce as in in Lemma 3.5 and in Lemma 3.6 that

$$\|id : F_n^p \rightarrow OH_n\|_{cb} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}, \quad \|id : (F_n^p)^* \rightarrow OH_n\|_{cb} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}.$$

This yields

$$d_{cb}(F_n^p, OH_n) \leq 2^{1 - \frac{2}{p}}.$$

This is a concrete embedding of OH as a subspace of a quotient of $S_p = L_p(B(\ell_2), tr)$ (with $q = p$) and of $S_{p'}$ (with $q = p'$ and using $H^{c_p} = H^{r_{p'}}$). For less concrete realizations of such embeddings we refer to [Ps6].

Remark 3.9. A slight modification of this approach yields the space $C_p = [C, R]_{\frac{1}{p}}$. Indeed, let $\alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{p}$. Using $u = t^{-1} - 1$, we have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-t) + tB} \frac{dt}{t^\alpha(1-t)^{1-\alpha}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{u+B} \frac{du}{u^{1-\alpha}} = \frac{c(\alpha)}{B^{1-\alpha}}.$$

Following [PW], we find for arbitrary commuting operators A, B that

$$(x, A^{1-\alpha} B^\alpha x) = \inf_{x=f(t)+g(t)} \int_0^1 \frac{(f(t), Af(t))}{t} \frac{dt}{c(\alpha)t^\alpha(1-t)^{1-\alpha}} + \int_0^1 \frac{(g(t), Bg(t))}{1-t} \frac{dt}{c(\alpha)t^\alpha(1-t)^{1-\alpha}}.$$

Similar as in Lemma 3.5, it then easily follows that the space E_α of constants in the natural quotient of $L_2^c(t^{-1}\mu_\alpha) \oplus_1 L_2^r((1-t)^{-1}\mu_\alpha)$ satisfies $\|id : E_\alpha \rightarrow [C, R]_{\frac{1}{p}}\|_{cb} \leq \sqrt{2}$. The analogue Lemma 3.6 is slightly more involved. The dual Pusz/Woronowicz formula has to be used for $A(x_k) = (a_0^{\gamma_1} x_k b_0^{\beta_1})$ and $B(x_k) = (a_0^{\gamma_2} x_k b_0^{\beta_2})$. The appropriate powers γ_1, γ_2 and β_1, β_2 are obtained by solving linear equations. We leave the details to the interested reader.

Assuming Theorem 2, we can now obtain an embedding of OH .

Theorem 3.10. *OH embeds into the predual of a von Neumann algebra with QWEP.*

Proof: Since $\bigcup_n OH_n$ is dense in OH , we deduce that the subspace

$$F \subset L_2^c(\nu_1; \ell_2) \oplus_1 L_2^r(\nu_2; \ell_2) / \ker Q = G$$

of all equivalence classes $(f, g) + \ker Q$ such that $Q(f, g)$ is μ -almost everywhere constant is cb-isomorphic to OH . Theorem 2 implies the assertion. $\blacksquare$

Remark 3.11. We refer to [J4] for an embedding in the predual of the hyperfinite III_1 factor.

Corollary 3.12. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an injective linear map $u : OH_n \rightarrow S_1^m$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{cb} \|u^{-1} : u(OH_n) \rightarrow OH_n\|_{cb} \leq C.$$

Proof: This is an immediate consequence of the strong principle of local reflexivity in [EJR] and the fact that N is QWEP. $\blacksquare$

4. THE PROJECTION CONSTANT OF THE OPERATOR SPACE OH_n

In this section, we will provide the prove of Theorem 4 modulo the probabilistic result Proposition 4.6 (see section 7 for the proof). The main tool used in this section is the trace duality relation between 1-summing norms and maps factorizing through $B(H)$. Let us recall that for a linear map $v : F \rightarrow E$ this factorization norm is defined as

$$\Gamma_\infty(v) = \inf \|\alpha\|_{cb} \|\beta\|_{cb},$$

where the infimum is taken over all $\iota_E v = \alpha\beta$, $\beta : F \rightarrow B(H)$ and $\alpha : B(H) \rightarrow E^{**}$. Here $\iota_E : E \rightarrow E^{**}$ is the natural inclusion map. For finite rank maps $v : F \rightarrow E$, we also define

$$\gamma_\infty(v) = \inf \|\alpha\|_{cb} \|\beta\|_{cb},$$

where the infimum is taken over all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta : F \rightarrow M_m$ and $\alpha : M_m \rightarrow E$ such that $v = \alpha\beta$. The γ_∞ norm is related to the 1-summing norm via trace duality.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $u : E \rightarrow F$, then*

$$\pi_1^o(u) = \sup\{tr(vu) \mid \gamma_\infty(v) \leq 1\}.$$

Proof: Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^o(u) &= \sup \left\{ |\langle id \otimes u(x), y \rangle| \mid \|x\|_{S_1^m \otimes_{min} E} \leq 1, \|y\|_{M_m(F^*)} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ |tr(T_y^* u T_x)| \mid \|T_y : M_m^* \rightarrow F^*\|_{cb} \leq 1, \|T_x : M_m \rightarrow E\|_{cb} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \{ |tr(vu)| \mid \gamma_\infty(v) \leq 1 \}. \end{aligned}$$

■

The following results from [EJR] turns out to be quite useful in our context.

Lemma 4.2 (EJR). *Let E and F be finite dimensional operator spaces and $v : F \rightarrow E$, then*

$$\gamma_\infty(v) = \Gamma_\infty(v).$$

For subspaces of L_1 the one summing norm is closely related to operator spaces projective norm and this connection is useful for concrete estimates. For a finite dimensional operator space we define

$$d_{SL_1}(E) = \inf_{w:E \rightarrow E_1 \subset S_1^m} \|w\|_{cb} \|w^{-1}\|_{cb},$$

We extend this to infinite dimensional spaces by

$$d_{SL_1}(Y) = \sup_{E \subset Y} d_{SL_1}(E),$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite dimensional subspaces. The following fact follows immediately from the definition.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $x \in X \otimes Y$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exist finite dimensional spaces $E \subset X$ and $F \subset Y$ such that*

$$\|x\|_{E \hat{\otimes} F} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|x\|_{X \hat{\otimes} Y}.$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let X and Y be operator spaces, $E \subset X$ and $F \subset Y$ be finite dimensional subspaces. Let $x \in E \otimes F$ be a tensor with associated linear map $T_x : E^* \rightarrow Y$, then*

$$\pi_1^o(T_x) \leq d_{SL_1}(Y) \|x\|_{X \hat{\otimes} Y}.$$

Proof: Let $w : F \rightarrow F_1 \subset S_1^n$ be a linear map and $w^{-1} : F_1 \rightarrow E$ be completely contractive inverse. Let $\beta : F \rightarrow M_m$ and $\alpha : M_m \rightarrow E^*$ be complete contractions. By Wittstock's extension theorem, there is a complete contraction $\hat{\beta} : S_1^n \rightarrow M_m$ such that $\hat{\beta}|_{F_1} = \beta w^{-1}$. Then

$\alpha\hat{\beta}$ corresponds to an element $z \in E^* \otimes_{\min} M_n$ of norm less than one. The injectivity of the projective tensor product on S_1^n yields

$$\begin{aligned} |tr(T_x \alpha \beta)| &= |tr(\alpha \beta T_x)| = |tr(\alpha \hat{\beta} w T_x)| = |\langle z, id \otimes w(x) \rangle| \\ &\leq \|z\|_{E^* \otimes_{\min} M_n} \|id_E \otimes w(x)\|_{E \hat{\otimes} S_1^n} \\ &= \|z\|_{E^* \otimes_{\min} M_n} \|id_X \otimes w(x)\|_{X \hat{\otimes} S_1^n} \\ &\leq \|w\|_{cb} \|x\|_{X \hat{\otimes} F} . \end{aligned}$$

Taking the infimum over all w , we may replace $\|w\|_{cb}$ by $d_{SL_1}(F)$. Since $F \subset Y$ is arbitrary, we deduce the assertion from Lemma 4.3. $\blacksquare$

We have a partial converse to this observation using the tools from [EJR, J2].

Lemma 4.5. *Let M and N be von Neumann algebras such that N is QWEP. Let X and Y be operator spaces and $w : Y \rightarrow M_*$ be a complete contraction. Let E be a finite dimension subspace of X and $x \in E \otimes Y$ with associated linear map $T_x : E^* \rightarrow Y$ and $u : E \rightarrow N_*$ be a complete contraction, then*

$$\pi_1^o(T_x : E^* \rightarrow Y) \geq \|u \otimes w(x)\|_{N_* \hat{\otimes} M_*} .$$

Proof: We will follow the ultraproduct approach from [J2]. Let $N \subset B(H)$ be an embedding and $\mathcal{E}_* : N_* \rightarrow B(H)^*$ be a complete contraction such $\mathcal{E}_*(\phi)(x) = \phi(x)$ for every functional $\phi \in N_*$ and $x \in N$. Using the strong principle of local reflexivity we can find for every subset $E_1 \subset N_*$ and $F_\infty \subset N$ a map $v_{E_1, F_\infty} : E_1 \rightarrow S_1$ such that $\|v_{E_1, F_\infty}\|_{cb} \leq 1 + (\dim(E))^{-1}$ and

$$v_{E_1, F_\infty}(\phi)(x) = \phi(x)$$

for all $\phi \in E_1$ and $x \in F_\infty$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an ultrafilter refining the natural filtration by inclusion. Then, we define $v : N_* \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{U}} S_1$ and $v(x) = (v_{E_1, F_\infty}(x))_{(E, F)}$ whenever $x \in E_1$ and obtain a complete contraction. Let $\pi : M \bar{\otimes} N \rightarrow \prod M \otimes B(\ell_2)$ be the diagonal embedding. Let $\phi \in N_*$ and $\psi \in M^*$. Let $x \in N \bar{\otimes} M$ and $T_x : M_* \rightarrow N$ be the associated linear map, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle id \otimes v(\psi \otimes \phi), \pi(x) \rangle &= \lim_{E_1, F_\infty} \langle \psi \otimes v_{E_1, F_\infty}(\phi), x \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\phi \in E_1, T_x(\phi) \in F_\infty} v_{E_1, F_\infty}(\phi)(T_x(\psi)) = \phi(T_x(\psi)) \\ &= \langle \psi \otimes \phi, x \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\pi^*(id_{M_*} \otimes v)$ coincides with the natural embedding of $M_* \hat{\otimes} N_* = (M \bar{\otimes} N)_*$ into its bidual $(M \bar{\otimes} N)^*$. Therefore $id \otimes v : N_* \hat{\otimes} M_* \rightarrow \prod S_1 \hat{\otimes} M_*$ is (completely) isometric. Let us consider the finite dimensional subspace $E_1 = u(F)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find an index (E'_1, F_∞) with $E_1 \subset E'_1$ and a map $v = v_{E'_1, F_\infty}$ such that

$$\|u \otimes w(x)\|_{N_* \hat{\otimes} M_*} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|vu \otimes w(x)\|_{S_1 \hat{\otimes} M_*} .$$

Hence, we can find a norm one element $y \in B(\ell_2) \bar{\otimes} M$ such that

$$(1 + \varepsilon) |\langle y, vu \otimes w(x) \rangle| \geq \|vu \otimes w(x)\|_{S_1 \hat{\otimes} M_*} .$$

However, by [ER2], we can associate to y a linear complete contraction $T_y : M_* \rightarrow B(\ell_2)$ such that $T_y(\phi)(a) = \langle y, \phi \otimes a \rangle$. By testing with rank one tensors is easy to see that

$$\langle y, vu \otimes w(x) \rangle = \text{tr}((vu)^* T_y w T_x).$$

Thus with Lemma 4.2 we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle y, vu \otimes w(x) \rangle| &= |\text{tr}((vu)^* T_y w T_x)| \leq \gamma_\infty((vu)^* T_y w|_{Im(T_x)}) \pi_1^o(T_x) \\ &\leq \Gamma_\infty((vu)^* T_y w) \pi_1^o(T_x) \leq \|v\|_{cb} \|u\|_{cb} \|T_y\|_{cb} \|w\|_{cb} \pi_1^o(T_x). \end{aligned}$$

Since u, w and T_y are complete contractions and $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we deduce the assertion. $\blacksquare$

Using free probability we will be provide an embedding theorem for $G = L_2^\varepsilon(\nu_1; \ell_2) \oplus_1 L_2^r(\nu_2; \ell_2)/\ker Q$ into the predual of von Neumann algebra (see section 7).

Proposition 4.6. *There exists a von Neumann algebra N with QWEP and a von Neumann algebra M and contractions $u : G \rightarrow N_*$ and $w : G \rightarrow M_*$ such that for all $x \in G \otimes G$*

$$\|u \otimes w(x)\|_{N_* \hat{\otimes} M_*} \geq \frac{1}{9} \|x\|_{G \hat{\otimes} G}$$

for all $x \in G \otimes G$. Moreover, $\|u^{-1} : u(G) \rightarrow G\|_{cb} \leq 3$.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $E \subset G$ and $F \subset G$ be finite dimensional subspaces and $x \in E \otimes F$, then*

$$\frac{1}{9} \|x\|_{G \hat{\otimes} G} \leq \pi_1^o(T_x : E^* \rightarrow G) \leq 3 \|x\|_{G \hat{\otimes} G}$$

Proof: Since G is 3-cb isomorphic to a subspace of N_* and N is QWEP, the strong principle of local reflexivity in [EJR] implies $d_{SL_1}(G) \leq 3$ and thus by Lemma 4.4

$$\pi_1^o(T_x : E^* \rightarrow G) \leq 3 \|x\|_{G \hat{\otimes} G}.$$

Conversely, Lemma 4.5 applies due to Proposition 4.6 and hence

$$\|x\|_{G \hat{\otimes} G} \leq 9 \|u \otimes w(x)\|_{N_* \hat{\otimes} M_*} \leq 9 \pi_1^o(T_x : E^* \rightarrow F).$$

The proof is complete. $\blacksquare$

We are now well-prepared for the proof of Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4: Let $F_n \rightarrow G$ be the subspace constructed in section 3 and $u : OH_n \rightarrow OH_n$ be a linear map with matrix (a_{ij}) , then we deduce from Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^o(u : OH_n \rightarrow OH_n) &= \pi_1^o(u : OH_n^* \rightarrow OH_n) \leq 2 \pi_1^o(u : F_n^* \rightarrow F_n) \\ &\leq 6 \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} f_i \otimes f_j \right\|_{G \hat{\otimes} G}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely,

$$\left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} f_i \otimes f_j \right\|_{G \hat{\otimes} G} \leq 9 \pi_1^o(u : F_n^* \rightarrow F_n) \leq 18 \pi_1^o(u : OH_n \rightarrow OH_n).$$

The assertion is proved. $\blacksquare$

The following norm calculations in $G_n \hat{\otimes} G_n$ will be postponed to the next section.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $[a_{ij}]$ be a $n \times n$ matrix. Then*

$$\left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} f_i \otimes f_j \right\|_{G_n \hat{\otimes} G_n} \leq 16 \sqrt{1 + \ln n} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Moreover

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes f_i \right\|_{G_n \hat{\otimes} G_n} \geq (8\pi)^{-1} \sqrt{n(1 + \ln n)}.$$

As an application, we derive an independent proof of (0.5).

Corollary 4.9. *Let $u : B(H) \rightarrow OH$ be a completely bounded map of rank at most n , then*

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u(x_k)\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 96 \sqrt{1 + \ln n} \|u\|_{cb} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes \bar{x}_k \right\|_{B(H) \otimes_{min} \bar{B}(H)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof: We may assume that $u(x_1), \dots, u(x_n)$ are contained in an n -dimensional subspace $K \subset OH$. Since OH is homogeneous, it suffices to consider Pu , where P is the orthogonal projection on K . Choosing an orthonormal basis, we may as well assume $K = OH_n$ and $u : B(H) \rightarrow OH_n$. We define $w : OH_n \rightarrow B(H)$ by $w(e_k) = x_k$. Therefore, we deduce from Lemma 4.2 and (1.4) that $v = uw : OH_n \rightarrow OH_n$ satisfies

$$\gamma_\infty(v) = \Gamma_\infty(uw) \leq \|u\|_{cb} \|w\|_{cb} = \|u\|_{cb} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes \bar{x}_k \right\|_{B(H) \otimes_{min} \bar{B}(H)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then we find $(a_{ij}) \in \ell_2^{n^2}$ of norm one such that

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u(x_k)\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{k,l} a_{kl} (e_l, uw(e_k)) = \text{tr}(auw).$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u(x_k)\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= |\text{tr}(av)| \leq \pi_1^o(a) \gamma_\infty(v) \\ &\leq 6 \cdot 16 \sqrt{1 + \ln n} \|a\|_2 \|u\|_{cb} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes \bar{x}_k \right\|_{B(H) \otimes_{min} \bar{B}(H)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq 96 \sqrt{1 + \ln n} \|u\|_{cb} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes \bar{x}_k \right\|_{B(H) \otimes_{min} \bar{B}(H)}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we apply a typical trace duality argument. ■

Corollary 4.10. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\gamma_\infty(id_{OH_n}) \leq 144\pi \sqrt{\frac{n}{1 + \ln n}}.$$

Proof: Let $n \geq 4$. According to Lemma 4.1, Theorem 4. and Proposition 4.8, we can find $v : OH_n \rightarrow OH_n$ such that $\gamma_\infty(v) \leq 1$ and

$$(8\pi)^{-1} \sqrt{n(1 + \ln n)} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes f_i \right\|_{G \hat{\otimes} G} \leq 18 \pi_1^o(id) = 18 |tr(v)|.$$

Let U_n be the unitary group in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with normalized Haar measure σ . Then, we consider

$$w = \int_{U_n} u^* v u d\sigma_n(u) = \frac{tr(v)}{n} id.$$

By homogeneity, we deduce

$$\frac{|tr(v)|}{n} \gamma_\infty(id) = \gamma_\infty(w) \leq \int_{U_n} \gamma_\infty(u^* v u) d\sigma_n(u) \leq \gamma_\infty(v) \leq 1.$$

Therefore, we obtain the assertion

$$\gamma_\infty(id) = n |tr(v)|^{-1} \gamma_\infty(w) \leq n \frac{8\pi}{\sqrt{n(1 + \ln n)}} 18 = 144\pi \sqrt{\frac{n}{1 + \ln n}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 4.11. *Let $OH_n \subset B(\ell_2)$, then there exists a projection $P : B(\ell_2) \rightarrow OH_n$ such that*

$$\|P\|_{cb} \leq 144\pi \sqrt{\frac{n}{1 + \ln n}}.$$

Proof: It suffices to assume $n \geq 4$. Write $id_{OH_n} = vw$, with $w : OH_n \rightarrow B(H)$ and $v : B(H) \rightarrow OH_n$, and

$$\|v\|_{cb} \|w\|_{cb} \leq 144\pi \sqrt{\frac{n}{1 + \ln n}}.$$

According to Wittstock's extension theorem, we can find a lifting $\hat{w} : B(\ell_2) \rightarrow B(H)$ with the same cb-norm as w . Then $P = v\hat{w}$ is the corresponding projection. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 4.12. *The order $\sqrt{(1 + \ln n)}$ is best possible in (0.4)*

Proof: Let $\iota : OH_n \rightarrow B(\ell_2)$ be a completely isometric embedding and $x_k = \iota(e_k)$. According to Corollary 4.11, we can find a projection $P : B(\ell_2) \rightarrow OH_n$ of cb-norm

$$\|P\|_{cb} \leq C \sqrt{\frac{n}{1 + \ln n}},$$

where $C = 96$. We define

$$c_n = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u(y_k)\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mid \|u\|_{cb} \leq 1, \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \otimes \bar{y}_k \right\|_{B(H) \otimes_{\min} \bar{B}(H)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let us consider the complete contraction $u = \frac{P}{\|P\|_{cb}}$. Since ι is a contraction, we deduce from (1.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n} &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|e_k\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|P\iota(e_k)\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|P\|_{cb} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u(x_k)\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\frac{n}{1 + \ln n}} c_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $\sqrt{1 + \ln n} \leq C c_n$ and the assertion is proved. $\blacksquare$

5. NORM CALCULATIONS IN A QUOTIENT SPACE

We will now provide the norm calculations in $G_n \hat{\otimes} G_n$ used in the previous section.

Lemma 5.1. $G_n \hat{\otimes} G_n$ is isometrically isomorphic to the quotient space of

$$L_2(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_1, \ell_2^{n^2}) \oplus_1 L_2(\nu_1, \ell_2^n) \otimes_\pi L_2(\nu_2, \ell_2^n) \oplus_1 L_2(\nu_2, \ell_2^n) \otimes_\pi L_2(\nu_1, \ell_2^n) \oplus_1 L_2(\nu_2 \otimes \nu_2, \ell_2^{n^2})$$

with respect to

$$S = \{(f, g, h, k) \mid f(t, s) + g(t, s) + h(t, s) + k(t, s) = 0 \text{ } \mu \otimes \mu \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

Proof: By the properties of the projective operator space tensor product, we have

$$G_n \hat{\otimes} G_n = (L_2^c(\nu_1; \ell_2^n) \oplus_1 L_2^r(\nu_1; \ell_2^n)) \hat{\otimes} (L_2^c(\nu_1; \ell_2^n) \oplus_1 L_2^r(\nu_1; \ell_2^n)) / \ker(Q \otimes Q).$$

We note that $H^c \hat{\otimes} K^c = H \otimes_2 K = H^r \hat{\otimes} K^r$ and $H^c \hat{\otimes} K^r = H \otimes_\pi K = H^r \hat{\otimes} K^c$. Therefore the properties of $\oplus_1$ and $\hat{\otimes}$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &(L_2^c(\nu_1; \ell_2^n) \oplus_1 L_2^r(\nu_1; \ell_2^n)) \hat{\otimes} (L_2^c(\nu_1; \ell_2^n) \oplus_1 L_2^r(\nu_1; \ell_2^n)) \\ &= (L_2^c(\nu_1; \ell_2^n) \hat{\otimes} L_2^c(\nu_1; \ell_2^n)) \oplus_1 (L_2^c(\nu_1; \ell_2^n) \hat{\otimes} L_2^r(\nu_2; \ell_2^n)) \\ &\quad \oplus_1 (L_2^r(\nu_2; \ell_2^n) \hat{\otimes} L_2^c(\nu_1; \ell_2^n)) \oplus_1 (L_2^r(\nu_2; \ell_2^n) \hat{\otimes} L_2^r(\nu_2; \ell_2^n)) \\ &= L_2(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_1, \ell_2^{n^2}) \oplus_1 (L_2(\nu_1; \ell_2^n) \otimes_\pi L_2(\nu_2; \ell_2^n)) \\ &\quad \oplus_1 (L_2(\nu_2; \ell_2^n) \otimes_\pi L_2(\nu_1; \ell_2^n)) \oplus_1 L_2(\nu_2 \otimes \nu_2, \ell_2^{n^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.1), we observe that all the four components can be represented by $\mu \otimes \mu$ measurable functions. Applying $Q \otimes Q$ yields the assertion. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 5.2. Let $a = [a_{ij}]$ be a $n \times n$ -matrix, then

$$\left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} f_i \otimes f_j \right\|_{G_n \hat{\otimes} G_n} \geq \frac{|tr(a)|}{\sqrt{n}} \sup \left| \int f(t, s) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{s} \right|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all measurable functions (f, g, h, k) such that

$$\frac{f(t, s)}{ts} = \frac{g(t, s)}{(1-t)(1-s)} = \frac{h(t, s)}{t(1-s)} = \frac{k(t, s)}{(1-t)s} \text{ } \mu \otimes \mu \text{ a.e.}$$

and

$$(5.1) \quad \max\{\|f\|_{L_2(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_1)}, \|g\|_{L_2(\nu_2 \otimes \nu_2)}\} \leq 1,$$

$$(5.2) \quad \max\{\|h\|_{L_2(\nu_1) \otimes_\varepsilon L_2(\nu_2)}, \|k\|_{L_2(\nu_2) \otimes_\varepsilon L_2(\nu_1)}\} \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

Proof: Let (f, g, h, k) be given as above. Consider a decomposition of a in matrix valued functions $a = a^1(t) + a^2(t) + a^3(t) + a^4(t)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|a^1\|_{L_2(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_1; \ell_2^{n^2})} + \|a^2\|_{L_2(\nu_2 \otimes \nu_2; \ell_2^{n^2})} \\ & + \|a^3\|_{L_2(\nu_1; \ell_2^n) \otimes_\pi L_2(\nu_2; \ell_2^n)} + \|a^4\|_{L_2(\nu_2; \ell_2^n) \otimes_\pi L_2(\nu_1; \ell_2^n)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} f_i \otimes f_j \right\|_{G \hat{\otimes} G} \end{aligned}$$

Then the Cauchy Schwartz inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^1(t, s) f(t, s) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{s} \right| \\ & \leq \left(\int \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ii}^1(t, s)|^2 \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int \sum_{i=1}^n |f(t, s)|^2 \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \|a^1\|_{L_2(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_1; \ell_2^{n^2})} \sqrt{n} \|f\|_{L_2(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_1; \ell_2^{n^2})} \leq \sqrt{n} \|a^1\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\left| \int \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^2(t, s) g(t, s) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{1-s} \right| \leq \sqrt{n} \|a^2\|.$$

For every operator $h : L_2 \rightarrow L_2$, we recall $\|h \otimes id_{\ell_2^n}\| = \|h\|$. Hence, we deduce from trace duality and (5.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^3(t, s) h(t, s) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{1-s} \right| \leq \|h \otimes id_{\ell_2^n}\|_{L_2(\nu_1; \ell_2^n) \otimes_\varepsilon L_2(\nu_2; \ell_2^n)} \|a^3\|_{L_2(\nu_1; \ell_2^n) \otimes_\pi L_2(\nu_2; \ell_2^n)} \\ & \leq \|h\|_{L_2(\nu_1) \otimes_\varepsilon L_2(\nu_1)} \|a_3\| \leq \sqrt{n} \|a^3\|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\left| \int \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^4(t, s) k(t, s) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{s} \right| \leq \|k\| \|a^4\| \leq \sqrt{n} \|a^4\|.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} f(t, s) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{s} \right| \leq \left| \int \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^1 f(t, s) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{s} \right| + \left| \int \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^2 g(t, s) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{1-s} \right| \\ & + \left| \int \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^3 h(t, s) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{1-s} \right| + \left| \int \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^4 k(t, s) \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{s} \right| \\ & \leq \sqrt{n}(1 + \varepsilon) \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} f_i \otimes f_j \right\|_{G \hat{\otimes} G}. \end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. ■

Given a measure ν and positive measurable densities g, h , we denote by

$$L_2(g\nu) +_p L_2(h\nu) = L_2(g\nu) \oplus_p L_2(h\nu) / \ker Q$$

where $Q(f_1, f_2) = f_1 + f_2$. Given a measurable function k , we define the norm of k in $L_2(g\nu) +_p L_2(h\nu)$ as the norm of the equivalence class $(k, 0) + \ker Q$. For $p = 2$, this is again a Hilbert space and we can find an explicit formula.

Lemma 5.3. *Let ν be a measure and g, h strictly positive measurable functions. For a measurable function k the norm of in $L_2(g\nu) +_2 L_2(h\nu)$ is given by*

$$\|k\|_{L_2(g\nu) +_2 L_2(h\nu)} = \left(\int \frac{|k|^2}{(g^{-1} + h^{-1})} d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof: By the Hahn-Banach theorem (see the proof of Lemma 3.3), we have

$$\|k\|_{L_2(g\nu) + L_2(h\nu)} = \sup \left| \int k f_1 g d\nu \right|$$

where the supremum is taken over (f_1, f_2) with

$$f_1 g = f_2 h \quad \text{and} \quad \int |f_1|^2 g \nu + \int |f_2|^2 h \nu \leq 1.$$

We introduce $v = f_1 g$ and find $f_1 = g^{-1}v$, $f_2 = h^{-1}v$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|k\|_{L_2(g\nu) + L_2(h\nu)} &= \sup_{\int |v|^2 g^{-1} d\nu + \int |v|^2 h^{-1} d\nu \leq 1} \left| \int k v d\nu \right| \\ &= \sup_{\int |w|^2 d\nu \leq 1} \left| \int k \frac{w}{(g^{-1} + h^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\nu \right| = \left(\int \frac{k^2}{g^{-1} + h^{-1}} d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is the equality case in Hölder's inequality. ■

Corollary 5.4. *Let $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$(5.3) \quad \left\| 1_{[\delta, \frac{1}{2}]} \otimes 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1-\delta]} \right\|_{L_2(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_1) +_1 L_2(\nu_2 \otimes \nu_2)} \leq 4\sqrt{2}\pi^{-1}(-\ln \delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(5.4) \quad \left\| 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1-\delta]} \otimes 1_{[\delta, \frac{1}{2}]} \right\|_{L_2(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_1) +_1 L_2(\nu_2 \otimes \nu_2)} \leq 4\sqrt{2}\pi^{-1}(-\ln \delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(5.5) \quad \left\| 1_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]} \otimes 1_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]} \right\|_{L_2(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_1) +_1 L_2(\nu_2 \otimes \nu_2)} \leq 2\sqrt{2}$$

$$(5.6) \quad \left\| 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]} \otimes 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]} \right\|_{L_2(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_1) +_1 L_2(\nu_2 \otimes \nu_2)} \leq 2\sqrt{2}.$$

Proof: Recall that $d\nu_1(t) = \frac{1}{t}d\mu(t)$ and $d\nu_2(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}d\mu(t)$ and $d\mu(t) = \frac{dt}{\pi\sqrt{t}\sqrt{1-t}}$. Applying Lemma 5.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| 1_{[\delta, \frac{1}{2}]} \otimes 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1-\delta]} \right\|_{L_2(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_1) + L_2(\nu_2 \otimes \nu_2)} \\
& \leq \sqrt{2} \left(\int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-\delta} \frac{1}{ts + (1-t)(1-s)} d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& = \sqrt{2} \left(\int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{t(1-s) + (1-t)s} d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq 2 \left(\int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \min\left(\frac{1}{t}, \frac{1}{s}\right) d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2 \left(\frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\delta}^t \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} \frac{dt}{t\sqrt{t}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq 2 \left(\frac{8}{\pi^2} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{t} \frac{dt}{t\sqrt{t}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 4\sqrt{2}\pi^{-1}(-\ln \delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we deduce (5.2). Equation (5.3) and (5.4) follow from

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{ts + (1-t)(1-s)} d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \leq 4 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 d\mu(t) d\mu(s) = 4. \quad \blacksquare$$

The next estimate yields the upper estimate in the logarithmic ‘little Grothendieck inequality’.

Lemma 5.5. *Let a be a $n \times n$ matrix, then*

$$\left\| \sum_{ij=1}^n a_{ij} f_i \otimes f_j \right\|_{G_n \hat{\otimes} G_n} \leq 16 \sqrt{1 + \ln n} \|a\|_2.$$

Proof: Given $a \in \ell_2^{n^2}$ and $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$, we decompose

$$a = a^1(t, s) + a^2(t, s),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a^1(t, s) = a \otimes & \left(1_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]}(t) 1_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]}(s) + 1_{[\delta, \frac{1}{2}]}(t) 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1-\delta]}(s) \right. \\
& \left. + 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1-\delta]}(t) 1_{[\delta, \frac{1}{2}]}(s) + 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(t) 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(s) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$a^2(t, s) = a \otimes 1 - a^1(t, s).$$

According to Corollary 5.4, we get

$$\|a^1\|_{L_2(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_1; \ell_2^{n^2}) + L_2(\nu_2 \otimes \nu_2; \ell_2^{n^2})} \leq (4\sqrt{2} + 8\sqrt{2}\pi^{-1}(-\ln \delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|a\|_2.$$

In order to estimate a^2 , we note that

$$\|1_{[0,\delta]} \otimes 1_{[\frac{1}{2},1]}\|_{L_2(\nu_2) \otimes_\pi L_2(\nu_1)} = \|1_{[0,\delta]}\|_{L_2(\nu_2)} \|1_{[\frac{1}{2},1]}\|_{L_2(\nu_1)} \leq \frac{2^{\frac{5}{4}} \delta^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\|1_{[\delta,\frac{1}{2}]} \otimes 1_{[1-\delta,1]}\|_{L_2(\nu_2) \otimes_\pi L_2(\nu_1)}, \|1_{[\frac{1}{2},1]} \otimes 1_{[0,\delta]}\|_{L_2(\nu_1) \otimes_\pi L_2(\nu_2)}, \\ & \|1_{[1-\delta,1]} \otimes 1_{[\delta,\frac{1}{2}]}\|_{L_2(\nu_1) \otimes_\pi L_2(\nu_2)}\} \leq \frac{2 \cdot 2^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \delta^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using $L_2(\ell_2^n) \otimes_\pi L_2(\ell_2^n) = (L_2^c \hat{\otimes} L_2^r) \hat{\otimes} ((\ell_2^n)^c \hat{\otimes} (\ell_2^n)^r)$, we get

$$\|a_2\|_{L_2(\nu_1; \ell_2^n) \otimes_\pi L_2(\nu_2; \ell_2^n) + L_2(\nu_2; \ell_2^n) \otimes_\pi L_2(\nu_1; \ell_2^n)} \leq \frac{8 \cdot 2^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \|a\|_1 \leq \frac{8 \cdot 2^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{n} \|a\|_2.$$

We can choose $\delta = \frac{1}{e^2 n^2}$ and deduce the assertion. ■

Although this decomposition seems to be of technical nature, it turns out to be essentially optimal for the identity matrix.

Lemma 5.6.

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes f_i \right\|_{G_n \hat{\otimes} G_n} \geq \frac{1}{8\pi} \sqrt{n(1 + \ln n)}$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: Let $\delta > 0$ to be determined later. We consider the interval $I = [\delta, \frac{1}{2}] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \delta]$ and the function

$$v(t, s) = \frac{1}{ts + (1-t)(1-s)} 1_I.$$

We are looking for functions (f, g, h, k) as in Corollary 5.2. We define $f(t, s) = ts v(t, s)$ and $g(t, s) = (1-t)(1-s) v(t, s)$ and observe

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_I f(t, s)^2 \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{s} + \int_I g(t, s)^2 \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{1-s} = \int_I v(t, s)^2 [ts + (1-t)(1-s)] d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \\ & = \int_I \frac{1}{ts + (1-t)(1-s)} d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \\ & \leq 4\pi^{-2} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-\delta} \min(t^{-1}, (1-s)^{-1}) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1-s}} \\ & \leq 4\pi^{-2} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \min(t^{-1}, s^{-1}) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} = 8\pi^{-2} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\delta}^t \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} \frac{dt}{t\sqrt{t}} \\ & \leq 16\pi^{-2} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{t} \frac{dt}{t\sqrt{t}} \leq 16\pi^{-2} (-\ln \delta). \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the norm for $h(t, s) = t(1-s)v(t, s)$, we use (1.1) and hence it suffices to estimate the L_2 -norm:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|h\|_{L_2(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2)}^2 &\leq 4 \int_I \min(t^{-2}, (1-s)^{-2}) t^2 (1-s)^2 d\nu_1(t) d\nu_2(s) \\
&\leq 8\pi^{-2} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \min(t^{-2}, s^{-2}) ts \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} \\
&= 16\pi^{-2} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\delta}^t \sqrt{s} ds \frac{dt}{t\sqrt{t}} \leq \frac{32}{3\pi^2} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{dt}{t\sqrt{t}} = \frac{16}{3\pi^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we need the L_2 -norm estimate of $k(t, s) = (1-t)sv(t, s)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|k\|_{L_2(\nu_2 \otimes \nu_1)}^2 &\leq 4 \int_I \min(t^{-2}, (1-s)^{-2}) (1-t)^2 s^2 \frac{d\mu(t)}{(1-t)} \frac{d\mu(s)}{s} \\
&\leq 8\pi^{-2} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \min(t^{-2}, s^{-2}) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} \leq 16\pi^{-2} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\delta}^t \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} \frac{dt}{t^2 \sqrt{t}} \\
&\leq 32\pi^{-2} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{t} \frac{dt}{t^2 \sqrt{t}} \leq 32\pi^{-2} \delta^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_I f(t, s) \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} \frac{d\mu(s)}{s} &= \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-\delta} \frac{1}{ts + (1-t)(1-s)} d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \min(t^{-1}, s^{-1}) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{\delta}) \frac{dt}{t\sqrt{t}} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{4\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{(-\ln 8\delta)}{\pi^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We define $\delta = \frac{1}{8ne}$, $C = \frac{4}{\pi}$ and $\tilde{f} = \frac{f}{C\sqrt{-\ln \delta}}$. Then (5.1) and (5.2) are satisfied for corresponding quadrupel $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h})$ and the assertion follows from $\ln 8 \leq 3$ which implies $\sqrt{-\ln \delta} \leq 2\sqrt{1 + \ln n}$ and thus $\frac{(-\ln 8\delta)}{\pi^2 C \sqrt{\ln(-\delta)}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{1 + \ln n}}{8\pi}$. $\blacksquare$

Proof of Proposition 4.8: Combine Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6. $\blacksquare$

6. K-FUNCTIONALS ASSOCIATED TO STATES AND CONDITIONAL EXPECTATIONS

In this section, we will clarify certain basic properties of quotient spaces $K(N, d)$, $\mathbb{K}_t(N, d)$ and $\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E})$. These spaces play an important role in our investigation and provide a link between the space G considered in the previous sections and the free probability techniques in the following sections. It is possible to define these K-functionals in the more general context of Haagerup's L_p spaces, but semifinite von Neumann algebras are sufficient for our applications. Therefore in the following, we will assume that τ is a normal, faithful, semifinite trace on a von Neumann algebra N . We denote by $L_0(N, \tau)$ the space τ -measurable operators affiliated to N . Given a positive density $d \in L_0(N, \tau)$ we define $Q : L_2^c(N, \tau) \oplus_1 L_2^r(N, \tau) \rightarrow L_0(N, \tau)$ by

$$Q(x, y) = dx + yd.$$

On the vector space $\text{Im}(Q) \subset L_0(N, \tau)$ we define the operator space structure of the quotient

$$K(N, d) \cong L_2^c(N, \tau) \oplus_1 L_2^r(N, \tau) / \ker Q.$$

Note that $\ker Q$ is closed by continuity of the left and right multiplication in $L_0(N, \tau)$ and the continuity of the inclusion $L_2(N, \tau) \subset L_0(N, \tau)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let (e_λ) be an increasing family of projection converging strongly to 1 and such that*

$$e_\lambda d = e_\lambda d e_\lambda = d e_\lambda.$$

Then $K(e_\lambda N e_\lambda, e_\lambda d e_\lambda)$ is completely complemented in $K(N, d)$ and $K(N, d)$ is a direct limit of the $K(e_\lambda N e_\lambda, e_\lambda d e_\lambda)$'s.

Proof: Let $N_\lambda = e_\lambda N e_\lambda$ and $d_\lambda = e_\lambda d e_\lambda$ and $Q_\lambda : L_2^c(N_\lambda) \oplus_1 L_2^r(N_\lambda) \rightarrow K(N_\lambda, d_\lambda)$ be the corresponding quotient map. By homogeneity, we see that $T_\lambda : L_2^c(N) \oplus_1 L_2^r(N) \rightarrow L_2^c(N_\lambda) \oplus_1 L_2^r(N_\lambda)$ given by $T_\lambda(x_1, x_2) = (e_\lambda x_1 e_\lambda, e_\lambda x_2 e_\lambda)$ is a complete contraction. Moreover, $Q_\lambda T_\lambda$ vanishes on $\ker Q$ and thus induces a complete contraction $\hat{T}_\lambda : K(N, d) \rightarrow K(N_\lambda, d_\lambda)$. Let $\iota_\lambda : L_2^c(N_\lambda, \tau) \oplus_1 L_2^r(N_\lambda, \tau) \rightarrow L_2^c(N, \tau) \oplus_1 L_2^r(N, \tau)$ be the canonical inclusion map. Then $Q \iota_\lambda$ vanishes on $\ker Q_\lambda$ and thus induces a complete contraction $\hat{\iota}_\lambda : K(N_\lambda, d_\lambda) \rightarrow K(N, d)$. It is easily checked that $\hat{P}_\lambda \hat{\iota}_\lambda = id$ and this completes the proof of the first assertion. Since $e_\lambda \rightarrow 1$ strongly, we see that $\bigcup L_2(e_\lambda N e_\lambda, \tau)$ is norm dense in $L_2(N, \tau)$. By continuity of Q this implies that $\bigcup_\lambda \text{Im}(Q \iota_\lambda)$ is dense in $K(N, d)$. Moreover, if $e_\lambda \leq e_{\lambda'}$ we have $\text{Im}(Q \iota_\lambda) \subset \text{Im}(Q \iota_{\lambda'})$. Hence $K(N, d)$ is a direct limit of the $K(N_\lambda, d_\lambda)$'s. $\blacksquare$

The next technical lemma will allow us to use approximation arguments. Let us say that a density d is in $L_2^{ap}(N, \tau)$ if d is τ measurable and there exists an increasing net e_α such that $e_\alpha d = d e_\alpha$ and $e_\alpha d \in L_2(N, \tau) \cap N$, $(e_\alpha d)^{-1}$ a bounded element in $e_\alpha N e_\alpha$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let N be a von Neumann algebra with normal faithful trace τ and d a positive density in $L_2^{ap}(N, \tau)$ and N_d be the subspace of elements $n \in N$ such that $\tau(d^2(n^*n + nn^*)) < \infty$. Then $n \in \bar{N}_d$ defines a continuous functional ϕ_n by*

$$(6.1) \quad \phi_n((a, b) + \ker Q) = \tau(n^*(da + bd)).$$

For every von Neumann algebra $M \otimes \bar{N}_d$ is weak dense in the unit ball of $M \otimes_{\min} K(N, d)^*$. If in addition d, d^{-1} are bounded and d in $L_2(N, \tau)$, then $N = N_d$ and $M \otimes \bar{N}$ is norm dense in the unit ball B of $M \otimes_{\min} K(N, d)^*$.*

Proof: According to our assumption and Lemma 6.1, we know that $\bigcup_{\lambda} K(e_{\lambda} N e_{\lambda}, e_{\lambda} d)$ is norm dense in $K(N, d)$. In view of $M \otimes_{\min} K(N, d)^* \subset (M_* \hat{\otimes} K(N, d))^*$, it therefore suffices to show the second assertion under the additional assumption that $d \in N \cap L_2(N, \tau)$ and d^{-1} is bounded. By approximation it suffices to consider a finite rank tensor $x = \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \otimes \gamma_j$ of norm less than one in $M \otimes_{\min} K(N, d)^*$. By changing the m_j 's and γ_j 's, we may assume that the (m_j) 's are part of a biorthogonal basis, i.e. there are functionals $(m_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}^*$ such that $m_i^*(m_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $\|m_i^*\| = \|m_j\| = 1$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Using the anti-linear duality $\tau(x^* y)$, we have $\overline{L_2(N, \tau)^*} = L_2(N, \tau)$. Therefore, we may assume that the functional $\gamma_j \in K(N, d)^*$ is given by elements (x_j, y_j) such that

$$\gamma_j((a, b) + \ker Q) = \tau(x_j^* a) + \tau(y_j^* b).$$

Since γ_j vanished on $\ker Q$, we deduce from $da + bd = 0$ that $\gamma_j((a, b) + \ker Q) = 0$. Given $z \in L_2(N, \tau)$ we define $a = zd$, $b = -dz$ and obtain

$$0 = \tau(x_j^* zd) - \tau(y_j^* dz) = \tau((dx_j^* - y_j^* d)z).$$

Since z is arbitrary, we deduce

$$(6.2) \quad x_j d = d y_j.$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. Since $K(N, d) = L_2^c(N, \tau) \oplus_1 L_2^r(N, \tau)$ is a quotient space, it follows from the definition and $H_c^* = H_r$, $H_r^* = H_c$ that

$$(6.3) \quad \|x\|_{M \otimes_{\min} K(N, d)^*} = \max \left\{ \left\| \sum_j m_j \otimes x_j \right\|_{M \otimes_{\min} L_2^r(N, \tau)}, \left\| \sum_j m_j \otimes y_j \right\|_{M \otimes_{\min} L_2^c(N, \tau)} \right\}.$$

Now, we define $w_j = d^{-1} x_j = y_j d^{-1}$ (see (6.2)). Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find elements $v_j \in N$ such that $\|v_j - w_j\|_2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n \|d\|_{\infty}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let us define

$$(6.4) \quad \hat{\gamma}_j(a, b) = \tau(v_j^* da) + \tau(dv_j^* b) = \tau(v_j^* (da + bd)).$$

Clearly, γ_j vanishes on $\ker Q$ and moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \otimes (\gamma_j - \hat{\gamma}_j) \right\|_{M \otimes_{\min} K(N, d)^*} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|m_j\| \max\{\|x_j^* - v_j^* d\|_2, \|y_j^* - dv_j^*\|_2\} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \max\{\|w_j^* d - v_j^* d\|_2, \|dw_j^* - dv_j^*\|_2\} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \otimes \hat{\gamma}_j$ is a good approximation in $M \otimes_{\min} \bar{N}$. ■

Remark 6.3. Obviously, $M \otimes_{\min} K(N, d)^*$ is a subspace of

$$(M_* \hat{\otimes} K(N, d))^* = CB(M_*, K(N, d)^*).$$

If moreover, M is finite dimensional these spaces coincide. Let B be the unit ball of the space $CB(M_*, K(N, d)^*)$. For general M , it is still true that $M \otimes K(N, d)^* \cap 3B$ is weak* dense in B .

Indeed, by the results in section 7, $K(N, d)$ is 3 completely complemented in $\mathcal{M}_*$ for some von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ and thus $CB(M_*, K(N, d)^*)$ is 3 complemented in $M \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$. By Kaplansky's density theorem (see [EJR] for this type of application), the unit ball of $M \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M}$ is strongly dense in the unit ball of $M \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$. Using the complementation, the assertion follows.

Lemma 6.4. *Let N be a hyperfinite, semifinite von Neumann with normal semifinite trace τ and $d \in L_2^{ap}(N, \tau)$. Then $K(N, d)$ is completely contractively complemented in*

$$\prod_{\alpha, \mathcal{U}} K(A_\alpha, d_\alpha)$$

where A_α are finite dimensional algebras. If moreover, N is given as $N = L_\infty(\Omega, \mu; M_n)$ and (Ω, μ) is a σ -finite measure space, then every density $d \in L_0(N, \tau)$ is in L_2^{ap} and one can choose A_α 's of the form $\ell_\infty^{m_\alpha}(M_n)$.

Proof: Again it suffices to prove the assertion under the assumption that d is an invertible bounded element with $d \in L_2(N, \tau)$. Let E_α be a family of conditional expectations onto finite dimensional subalgebras $A_\alpha \subset N$. Then, we define $d_\alpha = E_\alpha(d)$ and denote by Q_α the corresponding quotient map. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an ultrafilter refining the natural order given by inclusion. We define

$$v : L_2(N, \tau) \oplus_1 L_2(N, \tau) \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha, \mathcal{U}} K(A_\alpha, d)$$

by

$$v(x, y)(\alpha) = Q_\alpha(E_\alpha(x), E_\alpha(y)) = d_\alpha E_\alpha(x) + E_\alpha(y) d_\alpha.$$

Since x is in $L_2(N, \tau)$, we have norm convergence of $E_\alpha(x)$, $E_\alpha(y)$, $E_\alpha(d)$ to x , y , d respectively. Thus, we get

$$\|dx + yd\|_1 = \lim_\alpha \|d_\alpha E_\alpha(x) + E_\alpha(y) d_\alpha\|_1.$$

This yields $\ker v = \ker Q$ and v induces a map

$$\hat{v} : K(N, d) \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha, \mathcal{U}} K(A_\alpha, d_\alpha).$$

By construction it is clear that v and $\hat{v}$ are complete contractions. By density, it suffices to construct a map $T : \tilde{N} \rightarrow \prod_\alpha K(A_\alpha, d_\alpha)^*$ such that

$$\langle v(a, b), T(\gamma) \rangle = \gamma(Q(a, b)).$$

We define

$$T(n)_\alpha((a, b) + \ker Q_\alpha) = \tau(n^*(d_\alpha a + b d_\alpha))$$

Obviously, γ_α vanishes on $\ker Q_\alpha$ and thus is a linear functional on $K(A_\alpha, d_\alpha)$. Given a matrix $w_{kl} \in M_m(\tilde{N})$, we deduce from (6.3) that the functionals

$$\gamma_\alpha([a_{kl}], [b_{kl}]) = \sum_{kl} \tau(w_{kl}^*(d_\alpha a_{kl} + b_{kl} d_\alpha)) \quad \gamma([a_{kl}], [b_{kl}]) = \sum_{kl} \tau(w_{kl}^*(d_\alpha a_{kl} + b_{kl} d_\alpha))$$

satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{\alpha} \|\gamma_{\alpha}\|_{M_m(K(A_{\alpha}, d_{\alpha})^*)} \\
 &= \lim_{\alpha} \max \left\{ \left\| \sum_{kl} e_{kl} \otimes d_{\alpha} w_{kl} \right\|_{M_m(L_2^r(N))}, \left\| \sum_{kl} e_{kl} \otimes w_{kl} d_{\alpha} \right\|_{M_m(L_2^s(N))} \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \left\| \sum_{kl} e_{kl} \otimes d w_{kl} \right\|_{M_m(L_2^r(N))}, \left\| \sum_{kl} e_{kl} \otimes w_{kl} d \right\|_{M_m(L_2^s(N))} \right\} \\
 &= \|\gamma\|_{M_m(K(N, d)^*)} .
 \end{aligned}$$

In the last line, we use the norm convergence of d_{α} to d in L_2 . Hence, $T = (T_{\alpha}) : \bar{N} \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha} K(A_{\alpha}, d_{\alpha})^*$ is a complete contraction satisfying

$$\langle v(a, b), T(\gamma) \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \tau(w^*(d_{\alpha}a + bd_{\alpha})) = \tau(w^*da) + \tau(bdw^*) = \gamma(a, b) .$$

Since $\bar{N}$ is norm dense, we can extend T to $K(N, d)^*$ and the first assertion is proved. We will now assume that $N = L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mu; M_n)$ and (Ω, μ) is σ -finite. Let (A_k) be in increasing sequence of measurable set of finite measure and $f_k = 1_{A_k}$ the corresponding projections in the center of N . Given $d \in L_0(N)$, we may consider the spectral projections of $e_{l,k} = 1_{[\frac{1}{l}, l]}(f_k df_k) = 1_{[\frac{1}{l}, l]}(d)f_k$ and observe that $e_{l,k}d$ is in $N \cap L_2(N)$ and invertible in $e_{l,k}Ne_{l,k}$. Thus $d \in L_2^{ap}$. In order to show the last assertion, we may choose a family sequence Σ_{α} of subalgebras with finitely many atoms Ω such that $\bigcup_{\alpha} \Sigma_{\alpha} = \Sigma$. Then, we may use the conditional expectations $E_{\alpha} : N \rightarrow L_{\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma_{\alpha}; \mu; M_n)$ such that $E_{\alpha}(x)$ converges to x strongly. This provides the particular form of $A_{\alpha} \cong \ell^{m_{\alpha}}(M_n)$ given by the number of atoms m_{α} in Σ_{α} . $\blacksquare$

The space G used to identify a copy of OH involves two densities. Therefore, given two τ -measurable positive operators d_1 and d_2 we define

$$K(N, d_1, d_2) \cong L_2^c(N, \tau) \oplus_1 L_2^r(N, \tau) / \ker Q_{d_1, d_2}$$

where

$$Q_{d_1, d_2}(x, y) = d_1x + yd_2 .$$

Again, we may think of the vector space $K(N, d_1, d_2)$ as a subspace of $L_0(N, \tau)$.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $d = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \in L_0(M_2(N), tr \otimes \tau)$. Then $K(N, d_1, d_2)$ is completely isometrically complemented in $K(M_2(N), d)$.*

Proof: Let $P : L_2(M_2(N), tr \otimes \tau) \rightarrow L_2(N, \tau)$ be the projection onto the right upper corner, i.e.

$$P \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} = x_{12} .$$

Then

$$(P, P) : L_2^c(M_2(N), tr \otimes \tau) \oplus_1 L_2^c(M_2(N), tr \otimes \tau) \rightarrow L_2^c(N, \tau) \oplus_1 L_2^r(N, \tau)$$

defined by $(P, P)(x, y) = (P(x), P(y))$ is a complete contraction such that $Q_{d_1 d_2} P$ vanished on $\ker Q_d$. Here Q_d denotes the quotient map induced by d . Indeed,

$$d \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} \\ y_{12} & y_{22} \end{pmatrix} d = 0$$

implies $d_1 x_{12} + y_{12} d_2 = 0$. Let $\hat{P} : K(M_2(N), d) \rightarrow K(N, d_1, d_2)$ be the induced contraction. Similarly, we have a completely isometric embedding $\iota : L_2^c(N, \tau) \oplus_1 L_2^r(N, \tau) \rightarrow L_2^c(M_2(N), tr \otimes \tau) \oplus_1 L_2^r(M_2(N), tr \otimes \tau)$ given by

$$\iota(x, y) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Then $Q_d \iota$ vanishes on $\ker Q_{d_1 d_2}$. The induced contraction $\hat{\iota} : K(N, d_1, d_2) \rightarrow K(N, d)$ satisfies $\hat{P} \hat{\iota} = id$ and the assertion is proved. $\blacksquare$

We should warn the reader that the definition of $K(N, d_1, d_2)$ involves a slightly different identification than the one used for G . However, this can be adjusted by a change of density as follows.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $N = \ell_\infty(L_\infty([0, 1], \mu))$ equipped with the normal, semifinite faithful trace $\tau(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int x_n(t) d\mu(t)$. Let $d_1(t, n) = \sqrt{t}$ and $d_2(t, n) = \sqrt{1-t}$. Then G is completely isometrically isomorphic to $K(N, d_1, d_2)$.*

Proof: Let $I_1 : L_2(\nu_1; \ell_2) \rightarrow L_2(\mu; \ell_2)$ by the isometry $I_1(f)(t) = f(t)t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $I_2 : L_2(\nu_2; \ell_2) \rightarrow L_2(\mu; \ell_2)$ be given by $I_2(f) = f(t)(1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Then, we note that

$$Q_{d_1 d_2}(I_1(x), I_2(y)) = 0$$

if and only if $x_n = y_n$ μ almost everywhere for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $Q_{d_1 d_2}(I_1, I_2)$ induces a complete contraction $Q_{d_1 d_2}(\widehat{I_1, I_2}) : G \rightarrow K(N, d_1, d_2)$. The inverse is given by the map $Q(\widehat{I_1^{-1}, I_2^{-1}})$ induced by $Q(I_1^{-1}, I_2^{-1})$, where $Q : L_2^c(\nu_1; \ell_2) \oplus_1 L_2^r(\nu_1; \ell_2) \rightarrow G$ is the canonical quotient map. $\blacksquare$

Voiculescu's inequality for sums of free independent random variables involves three terms. Therefore, we investigate these modified spaces and the matrix-valued analogues. Given a positive τ -measurable operator $d \in L_2(N, \tau)$ and $t > 0$, we define

$$\mathbb{K}_t(N, d) = L_1(N, \tau) \oplus_1 L_2^c(N, \tau) \oplus_1 L_2^r(N, \tau) / \ker Q$$

on the the vector space

$$V = L_1(N, \tau) + dL_2(N, \tau) + L_2(N, \tau)d \subset L_1(N, \tau)$$

by the matrix norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{S_1^m \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}_t(N, d)} &= \\ \inf_{x=x_1+(1 \otimes d)x_2+x_3(1 \otimes d)} & t \|x_1\|_{L_1(M_m \otimes N, tr \otimes \tau)} + \sqrt{t} \|x_2\|_{S_1^m \hat{\otimes} L_2^c(N, \tau)} + \sqrt{t} \|x_3\|_{S_1^m \hat{\otimes} L_2^r(N, \tau)}. \end{aligned}$$

This simply defines a new operator space structure on the space $L_1(N, \tau)$.

Lemma 6.7. *Let $d \in L_2^{ap}(N, \tau)$. Let $x \in S_1^m \otimes K(N, d)$, then*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|x\|_{S_1^m \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}_t(N, d)} = \|x\|_{S_1^m \hat{\otimes} K(N, d)} .$$

Moreover, $K(N, d)$ is completely contractively complemented in $\prod_{n, \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{K}_{t_n}(N, d)$ where (t_n) is a sequence converging to ∞ and $\mathcal{U}$ is a free ultrafilter.

Proof: For every $(x_2, x_3) \in S_1^n \hat{\otimes} L_2^c(N, \tau) \oplus_1 L_2^r(N, \tau)$, we have

$$t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(1 \otimes d)(x_2) + x_3(1 \otimes d)\|_{S_1^n \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}_t(N, d)} \leq \|x_2\|_{S_1^n \hat{\otimes} L_2^c(N, \tau)} + \|x_3\|_{S_1^n \hat{\otimes} L_2^r(N, \tau)} .$$

Thus the upper estimate is obvious and we may define $v : K(N, d) \rightarrow \prod_{n, \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{K}_{t_n}(N, d)$ by $v((x, y) + \ker Q) = (t_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(dx + yd))$. Clearly, v is a complete contraction. Let us assume that (t_n) converges to ∞ . We may assume by approximation that $d \in L_2(N, \tau) \cap N$ and d is invertible. In this case, we have shown in Lemma 6.2 that $\bar{N}$ is indeed norm dense in $K(N, d)^*$. Given $w \in N$, we define $T(w)_n \in \mathbb{K}_{t_n}(N, d)^*$ by

$$T(w)_n(x_1, x_2, x_3) = t_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau(w^*(x_1 + dx_2 + x_3d)) .$$

Now, if $t_n \|x_1\|_1 \leq C$ for all $n \in A \in \mathcal{U}$, we have

$$\lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} |t_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau(w^*x_1(n))| \leq C \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} t_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_\infty = 0 .$$

Thus, we have

$$\langle v(x_1, x_2), T(w) \rangle = \tau(w^*(dx_1 + x_2d)) .$$

Given a matrix $[w_{ij}]$ such that $w_{ij} \in \bar{N}$, we deduce from (6.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \|[T(w_{ij})_n]\| \\ &= \lim_n \max\{t_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{ij}\|_{M_m(N)}, \|dw_{ij}\|_{M_m(L_2^r(N, \tau))}, \|[w_{ij}d]\|_{M_m(L_2^c(N, \tau))}\} \\ &= \|[w_{ij}]\|_{M_m(K(N, d)^*)} . \end{aligned}$$

Thus T is a complete isometry, which extends by density to $K(N, d)^*$. Using the reflexivity of the Hilbert space $K(N, d)$, we get $Tv = id$. This implies the lower estimate and the factorization through the ultraproduct. $\blacksquare$

Remark 6.8. *Given an arbitrary von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}_* \otimes K(N, d)$ then still*

$$\frac{1}{3} \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}_* \hat{\otimes} K(N, d)} \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}_* \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}_t(N, d)} \leq \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}_* \hat{\otimes} K(N, d)} .$$

We will not use this result in the course of this paper.

Proof: According to Remark 6.3, finite rank tensors $y \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \bar{N} \cap 3B$ are weak* in the unit ball B of $CB(\mathcal{M}_*, K(N, d)^*)$. Hence, given $x \in \mathcal{M}_* \otimes K(N, d)$, we deduce with the Hahn-Banach theorem that there is a net y_α satisfying $\|y_\alpha\| \leq 3$ such that

$$\|x\| = \lim_\alpha |\langle x, y_\alpha \rangle| .$$

Let (t_n) tend to ∞ and $y_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \otimes w_i \in \mathcal{N} \otimes N$. Then we may define a functional $y_n \in (\mathcal{M}_* \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}_n(N, d))^*$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\phi_1 \otimes x_1, \phi_2 \otimes x_2, \phi_3 \otimes x_3), y_n \rangle \\ &= t_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^m (\phi_1(a_i) \tau(w_i^* x_1) + \phi_2(a_i) \tau(w_i^* x_2) + \phi_3(a_i) \tau(w_i^* x_3)) \end{aligned}$$

The argument above shows that for a $x \in \mathcal{M}_* \hat{\otimes} K(N, d)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x, y_\alpha \rangle| &= |\lim_n \langle t_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} x, y_n \rangle| \\ &\leq \liminf_n \left\| t_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} x \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_* \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}_{t_n}(N, d)} \limsup_n \|y_n\|_{(\mathcal{M}_* \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}_{t_n}(N, d))^*} \\ &\leq \liminf_n \left\| t_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} x \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_* \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}_{t_n}(N, d)} \|y_\alpha\|_{CB(\mathcal{M}_*, K(N, d)^*)} \\ &\leq 3 \liminf_n \left\| t_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} x \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_* \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}_{t_n}(N, d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over α yields the assertion for finite rank tensors x . By density it holds for all elements $x \in \mathcal{M}_* \hat{\otimes} K(N, d)$. ■

At the end of this section, we will investigate K -functionals associated to a conditional expectation instead of a state. Let ϕ be a normal faithful state on a von Neumann $\mathcal{N}$ algebra and $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow N$ a normal conditional expectation onto a von Neumann subalgebra N such that $\phi = \phi \circ \mathcal{E}$. Let $D \in L_1(\mathcal{N})$ be the density of ϕ . The space $L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ is defined as the completion of $\mathcal{N}D$ with respect to the norm

$$\|xD\|_{L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})} = \|D\mathcal{E}(x^*x)D\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let us consider $\varepsilon > 0$ and $b = (D\mathcal{E}(x^*x) + \varepsilon D)^{\frac{1}{4}} \in L_2(N)$, $y = xDb^{-1}$ and $z = xDb^{-2}$. Then z is bounded because $zz^* = xDb^{-4}Dx^* \leq \varepsilon^{-1}xx^*$ and thus $y = zb$ is in $L_2(M)$ and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_2^2 &= \text{tr}(b^{-1}Dx^*xDb) = \text{tr}(b^{-1}D\mathcal{E}(x^*x)Db^{-1}) \leq \text{tr}(b^2) = \|b^2\|_2^2 \\ &= \|D\mathcal{E}(x^*x) + \varepsilon D\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|D\mathcal{E}(x^*x)D\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, every element xD can be written as a product in $L_2(M)L_2(N)$. To prove the converse, we recall the notations $\mathcal{N}_a, N_a$ for the strongly dense subalgebras of analytic elements in $\mathcal{N}, N$, respectively. Recall that x is called analytic if the map $t \mapsto \sigma_t^\phi(x)$ extends to an analytic function on $\mathbb{C}$ with values in the underlying von Neumann algebra. Let $y = aD^{\frac{1}{2}}bD^{\frac{1}{2}}$ such that $a \in \mathcal{N}$ and $b \in \mathcal{N}_a$. Then $y = a\sigma_{-\frac{i}{2}}(b)D$ and by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_{L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})}^2 &= \left\| D\mathcal{E}((a\sigma_{-\frac{i}{2}}(b))^* a \sigma_{-\frac{i}{2}}(b)) D \right\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\| D\sigma_{-\frac{i}{2}}(b)^* \mathcal{E}(a^*a) \sigma_{-\frac{i}{2}}(b) D \right\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\| D^{\frac{1}{2}} b D^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{E}(a^*a) D^{\frac{1}{2}} b D^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left\| b D^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2^2 \text{tr}(D^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{E}(a^*a) D^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \left\| b D^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2^2 \left\| a D^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}) = L_2(\mathcal{N})L_2(N)$. This observation is the key ingredient in the proof of the following Lemma (see also [J1] and [JX]).

Lemma 6.9. $L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ is the quotient space of $L_2(M) \otimes_\pi L_2(N)$ under the map $q(x \otimes y) = xy$. The inclusion map $L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}) \subset L_1(\mathcal{N})$ is contractive and $\mathcal{N}_a D$ is dense in $L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$. The unit ball of the dual of $L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ is the strong operator closure of the unit ball of $\mathcal{N}p_\mathcal{E}$, where $p_\mathcal{E} : L_2(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow L_2(\mathcal{N})$ is the orthogonal projection given by $p_\mathcal{E}(xD^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \mathcal{E}(x)D^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

In particular, we obtain a nice duality relation between $L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ and the space $L_\infty^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ defined on $\mathcal{N}$ by the norm

$$\|x\|_{L_\infty^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})} = \|\mathcal{E}(x^*x)\|_{\mathcal{N}}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Indeed, given $y = ba$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |tr(x^*y)| &= |tr(x^*ba)| = |tr(bax^*)| \leq \|b\|_2 tr(ax^*xa^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|b\|_2 tr(a\mathcal{E}(x^*x)a^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|b\|_2 \|a\|_2 \|\mathcal{E}(x^*x)\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the complex conjugate space $\overline{L_\infty^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})}$ of $L_\infty^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ embeds naturally in $L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})^*$. However, for $x \in \mathcal{N}$, we have $\|\mathcal{E}(x^*x)\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|xp_\mathcal{E}\|$ and therefore Lemma 6.9 implies

$$(6.5) \quad \|y\|_{L_\infty^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})} = \sup \{ |tr(y^*)| \mid \|x\|_{L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})} \leq 1 \}.$$

Similarly, we define for $p \in \{1, \infty\}$ the corresponding row version

$$\|x\|_{L_p^r(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})} = \|x^*\|_{L_p^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})}.$$

The 3-term quotient space associated to $\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ on $L_1(\mathcal{N})$ is given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})} &= \inf_{x=x_1+x_2+x_3} \left(n \|x_1\|_{L_1(\mathcal{N})} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{n} \left\| \mathcal{E}(x_2^*x_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_1(\mathcal{N})} + \sqrt{n} \left\| \mathcal{E}(x_3x_3^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_1(\mathcal{N})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we allow $x_2 \in L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}) \subset L_1(\mathcal{N})$ and $x_3 \in L_1^r(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}) \subset L_1(\mathcal{N})$. We will also use the symbol $\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ for $L_1(\mathcal{N})$ equipped with this norm. Using the anti-linear duality bracket

$$\langle\langle y, x \rangle\rangle_n = ntr(y^*x).$$

we will now calculate the dual space of $\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$.

Lemma 6.10. The dual space of $\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ with respect to the duality bracket $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_n$ is $\bar{\mathcal{N}}$ and the norm is given by

$$\|y\|_{\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})^*} = \max \{ \|y\|_{\mathcal{N}}, \sqrt{n} \|\mathcal{E}(y^*y)\|_{\mathcal{N}}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \sqrt{n} \|\mathcal{E}(yy^*)\|_{\mathcal{N}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \}.$$

Proof: By continuity of the inclusions $L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}) \subset L_1(\mathcal{N})$ and $L_1^r(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}) \subset L_1(\mathcal{N})$ it is clear that $\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}) = L_1(\mathcal{N})$ topologically. Thus the dual space with respect to $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_n$ is $\bar{\mathcal{N}}$. For an element $y \in \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$\sup_{n\|x\|_1 \leq 1} |ntr(y^*x)| = \|y\|_{\infty}.$$

According to (6.5), we also have

$$\sup_{\sqrt{n}\|x\|_{L_1^c(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{E})} \leq 1} |n \operatorname{tr}(y^*x)| = \sup_{\|x\|_{L_1^c(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{E})} \leq 1} \sqrt{n} |\operatorname{tr}(y^*x)| = \sqrt{n} \|\mathcal{E}(y^*y)\|_N^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The calculation for the row term is similar and thus the assertion follows from the definition of $\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ as a quotient of three spaces. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 6.11. *Let $\mathcal{N} = M \otimes N$, ϕ_M be a normal faithful state on M with density $d_M \in L_1(M)$, ϕ_N be a normal faithful state on N with density $d_N \in L_1(N)$ and $\phi = \phi_M \otimes \phi_N$ with density $D \in L_1(\mathcal{N})$. The conditional expectation $\mathcal{E}$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{E}(x \otimes y) = \phi_N(y)x$$

Let d be the density of ϕ_N in $L_1(N)$. The densely defined map $T^{\text{right}} : L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} L_2^r(N) \rightarrow L_1(\mathcal{N})$ defined by

$$T^{\text{right}}(md_M \otimes nd_N^{\frac{1}{2}}) = (m \otimes n)D$$

extends to an isometry between $L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} L_2^r(N)$ and $L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$. Similarly, $T^{\text{left}}(d_M m \otimes d_N^{\frac{2}{1}} n) = D(m \otimes n)$ extends to an isometry between $L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} L_2^s(N)$ and $L_1^r(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$.

Proof: By Kaplansky's density theorem $(N \otimes M)D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is dense in $L_2(\mathcal{N}) = L_2(M \otimes N)$. Since $\mathcal{N}_a D$ is dense in $L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$, we deduce that $L_2(\mathcal{N})D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is dense in $L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ and thus $(N \otimes M)D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is also dense in $L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$. This shows that T^{right} has dense range. Moreover, given an element $z = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j D_M \otimes n_j d_N^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L_1(\mathcal{N}) \otimes L_2^r(N)$, we deduce from (1.11) and the isometric isomorphism $i_{\frac{1}{2}} : L_{\frac{1}{2}}(M) \rightarrow L_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{N})$ given by $i_{\frac{1}{2}}(d_M m d_M) = D m D$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{\text{right}}(z)\|_{L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})}^2 &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k (n_j \otimes m_j) D \right\|_{L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})}^2 = \left\| D \mathcal{E} \left(\sum_{j,l} n_l^* n_j \otimes m_l^* m_j \right) D \right\|_{L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\| D \sum_{j,l} \phi(n_l^* n_j) m_l^* m_j D \right\|_{L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\| d_M \sum_{j,l} \phi(n_l^* n_j) m_l^* m_j d_M \right\|_{L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \| (z^*, z) \|_{L_{\frac{1}{2}}(M)}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \| z \|_{L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} L_2^r(N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, T is isometric and has dense range in $L_1^c(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$. Therefore it extends to an isometric isomorphism. The proof of the second assertion follows by passing to adjoints. $\blacksquare$

As an application, we can identify the spaces $\mathbb{K}_n(M \otimes N, \mathcal{E})$.

Example 6.12. *Let N be a semifinite von Neumann algebra with trace τ , $d \in L_1(N, \tau)$ a positive element with full support and $\phi_N(x) = \tau(dx)$. Let M be a further σ -finite von Neumann algebra with normal faithful state ϕ_M and*

$$\mathcal{E} : M \otimes N \rightarrow M, \quad \mathcal{E}(x \otimes y) = \phi_N(y) x.$$

Then $\mathbb{K}_n(M \otimes N, \mathcal{E})$ and $L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}_n(N, d^{\frac{1}{2}})$ are isometrically isomorphic.

Proof: Since $d^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L_2(N, \tau)$ we may consider $\mathbb{K}_n(N, d^{\frac{1}{2}})$ as a subspace of $L_1(N, \tau) \subset L_0(N, \tau)$. We will also need the family of $*$ -preserving isomorphisms (i_p) between $L_p(N, \tau)$ and $L_p(N)$. Indeed, let $d_N \in L_1(N)$ be the of ϕ_N in $L_1(N)$. Since d has full support, we know that ϕ_N is a faithful normal state and therefore i_p is given by $i_p(nd^{\frac{1}{p}}) = nd_N^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Note that moreover

$$i_p(x_1 x_2) = i_{p_1}(x_1) i_{p_2}(x_2)$$

holds for all $x_1 \in L_{p_1}(N, \tau)$, $x_2 \in L_{p_2}(N, \tau)$ such that $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. In particular,

$$i_1(\mathbb{K}_n(N, d^{\frac{1}{2}})) = i_1(L_1(N, \tau)) = L_1(N)$$

coincide as vector spaces and topologically. Let d_M be the density of ϕ_M . As we have seen in (1.9), we have a natural isometric isomorphism I_1 between $L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} L_1(N)$ given by $I_1(md_M \otimes nd_N) = (m \otimes n)D$, where $D \in L_1(M \otimes N)$ is the density of $\phi_M \otimes \phi_N$ (see [J2] for details). Therefore, it suffices to show that on $L_1(M \otimes N)$ the corresponding norms defined on their domain coincide. This is obvious for the L_1 -norm. Given

$$z = \sum_j m_j d_M \otimes n_j d_N \in L_1(M) \otimes \mathbb{K}_n(N, d)$$

we deduce from Example 6.12 that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_j m_j d_M \otimes n_j d^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} L_2^r(N, \tau)} &= \left\| \sum_j m_j d_M \otimes n_j d_N^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} L_2^r(N)} \\ &= \|I_1(z)\|_{L_1^c(M \otimes N, \mathcal{E})}. \end{aligned}$$

By density of $(M \otimes N)D$ in $L_1^c(M \otimes N, \mathcal{E})$, we deduce that $I_1 \circ (id \otimes i_1) \circ Q$ provides an isometric isomorphism between $L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} L_2^r(N, \tau)$ and $L_1^c(M \otimes N, \mathcal{E})$. Using adjoints, we see that $L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} L_2^c(N, \tau)$ isometrically isomorphic to $L_1^r(M \otimes N, \mathcal{E})$. Finally, since $\hat{\otimes}$ preserves quotient maps, we know that $L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}_n(N, d^{\frac{1}{2}})$ is a quotient of

$$L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} L_2^c(N, \tau) \oplus_1 L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} L_2^r(N, \tau) \hat{\otimes} L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} L_1(N, \tau)$$

(with the corresponding weights) and hence $I_1 \circ (id \otimes i_1) \circ Q$ provides an isometric isomorphism between $L_1(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}_n(N, d^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and the image $\mathbb{K}_n(M \otimes N, \mathcal{E})$. $\blacksquare$

7. SUMS OF FREE MEAN ZERO VARIABLES

We will establish the probabilistic estimates using Voiculescu's concept of free probability. This concept also plays a crucial role in the proof of Grothendieck's theorem for operator spaces in [PS]. We extend Voiculescu's inequality for the norm of free mean 0 variables. We are indept to U. Haagerup for the collaboration on this extension (see Proposition 7.4). Dualizing this result, we find a complemented copy of $\mathbb{K}_n(N, \mathcal{E})$ in the predual of a von Neumann algebra. Let us recall the notion of operator valued free probability needed in this context. We assume that $(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ is a W^* -noncommutative probability space, meaning that $\mathcal{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra and ϕ is a faithful normal state. Let $B \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann subalgebra and $E : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow B$ be a normal conditional expectation satisfying $E \circ \phi = \phi$. A family of subalgebras $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ is called *freely independent over E* if $E(a_j) = 0$ for all j implies

$$E(a_1 \cdots a_n) = 0$$

whenever $a_1 \in A_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_n} \in A_{i_n}$ and $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_{n-1} \neq i_n$. In the original scalar valued case one simply replaces E by ϕ and $B = \mathbb{C}1$. In the following, we will use standard notation from free probability and use $\mathring{A}_i$ for the subspace of elements $a \in A_i$ with $E(a) = 0$. The following example is well-known. Since it is crucial for this paper, we include a proof for the convenience of the reader.

Example 7.1. *Let (M, ϕ) be a probability space and (A_i) freely independent over ϕ . Let $\mathcal{M} = N \otimes M$ and $E(x \otimes y) = \phi(y)x \otimes 1$. Then $(N \bar{\otimes} A_i)_i$ are freely independent over E .*

Proof: Let $a = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \otimes y_j \in N \otimes A_i$, then we observe

$$a - E(a) = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \otimes (y_j - \phi(y_j)1) \in N \otimes \mathring{A}_i.$$

Now, given $a_1, \dots, a_n$ such that $a_i \in N \otimes \mathring{A}_{i_k}$ with $i_1 \neq i_2 \dots i_{n-1} \neq i_n$, we may write $a_k = \sum_{j=1}^m x_{kj} \otimes y_{kj}$ with $y_{kj} \in \mathring{A}_{i_k}$. (The same m is achieved by adding 0's). Then, we deduce from the freeness of the A_i 's that

$$E(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1}^m x_{1k_1} \cdots x_{nk_n} \phi(y_{1,k_1} \cdots y_{n,k_n}) = 0.$$

By Kaplansky's density theorem the unit ball of $N \otimes_{\min} A_i$ is strongly and strongly* dense in $N \bar{\otimes} A_i$. Moreover, if $a \in N \bar{\otimes} A_i$ and $E(a) = 0$ and a^α is a bounded net converging in the strong topology to a , then $a^\alpha - E(a^\alpha)$ converges to $a - E(a) = a$. Moreover, for bounded nets $a_1^\alpha, \dots, a_n^\alpha$, we still have strong convergence of $\lim_{\alpha_1} \cdots \lim_{\alpha_n} (a_1^{\alpha_1} - E(a_1^{\alpha_1})) \cdots (a_n^{\alpha_n} - E(a_n^{\alpha_n}))$ to $a_1 \cdots a_n$. By continuity of E with respect to the weak operator topology, we deduce $E(a_1 \cdots a_n) = 0$ for arbitrary elements with $E(a_i) = 0$, $a_k \in A_{i_k}$, $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_n$. $\blacksquare$

Similar as in the scalar case, operator valued freeness admits a natural Fock space representation and this can be used to construct the free amalgamated product. Let us review some very basic and simple properties of this construction. We first assume that $B, A_1, \dots, A_n$ are C^* -algebras such that $B \subset A_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $E_j : A_j \rightarrow B$. Following [Vo, Dk3], we consider the Hilbert C^* -module

$$\mathring{A}_{i_1} \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B \mathring{A}_{i_n}$$

with the E -valued scalar product

$$(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n, b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n) = E_{i_n}(a_n^* E_{i_{n-1}}(a_{n-1}^* E_{i_{n-1}}(\cdots a_2^* E_{i_1}(a_1^* b_1) b_2 \cdots) b_{n-1}) b_n)$$

which has an obvious multilinear extension. Then the usual Fock space is replaced by the Hilbert C^* -module

$$\mathcal{H}_B = B \oplus \sum_{i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n} \mathring{A}_{i_1} \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B \mathring{A}_{i_n}.$$

Here $\oplus$ means that these components are all mutually orthogonal. We recall that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ is the algebra of adjointable maps on $\mathcal{H}_B$. A linear right B module map $T : \mathcal{H}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_B$ is called *adjointable* if there is a linear map $S : \mathcal{H}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_B$ such that

$$(x, Ty) = (Sx, y)$$

holds for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}_B$. $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ is a C^* -algebra, see [La] for more details. Let us recall how elements in A_i act on $\mathcal{H}_B$. Every element $B \in B$ acts on $\mathcal{H}_B$ by left multiplication on all the components (diagonal action). However, for $c \in \mathring{A}_i$, we have

$$c(a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n}) = \begin{cases} ca_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n} & \text{if } i \neq i_1 \\ (ca_{i_1} - E(ca_{i_1}))a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_n} \oplus E(ca_{i_1})a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_n} & \text{if } i = i_1 \end{cases}.$$

The last definition also applies if $a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_n}$ is the empty word. Of course the action of c is not diagonal. The C^* -free product with amalgamation $C^*(*_B A_i)$ is defined as the C^* -closure of linear combinations $a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n}$. Following Voiculescu's work [Vo] it is obvious that whenever $(A_i) \subset \mathcal{M}$ are free over E , the C^* -closure $\mathcal{M}_0$ generated by the A_i 's is isomorphic to $C^*(*_B A_i)$ with respect to a E preserving isomorphism.

Let us now explain the modifications needed for von Neumann algebras. We will assume that the B and A_j 's are von Neumann algebras. Although this is not needed in general, we will also assume that $\varphi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a normal faithful state. Then the induced states $\varphi_j = \varphi \circ E$ are faithful and satisfy $E \circ \sigma_t^{\varphi_j} = \sigma_t^\varphi \circ E$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Using φ , we can associated to $\mathring{A}_{i_1} \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B \mathring{A}_{i_n}$ the Hilbert space $L_2(\mathring{A}_{i_1} \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B \mathring{A}_{i_n}, \varphi)$ given by the scalar product

$$\langle a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n, b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n \rangle = \varphi((a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n, b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n)).$$

We obtain the Hilbert space

$$H_\varphi = \mathbb{C} \oplus \sum_{i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_n} L_2(\mathring{A}_{i_1} \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B \mathring{A}_{i_n}, \varphi).$$

Since φ is faithful, we see that the representation of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ on H_φ is faithful. Let us denote by $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ the corresponding von Neumann algebra. Then the von Neumann algebra $*_B A_i$ is defined as the closure of $C^*(*_B A_i)$ with respect to the weak operator topology. We use the notation $Q_\emptyset$, $Q_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$ for the orthogonal projections onto $L_2(B, \varphi)$, $L_2(\mathring{A}_{i_1} \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B \mathring{A}_{i_n}, \varphi)$, respectively. Then the conditional expectation E onto B is given by

$$E(x) = Q_\emptyset x Q_\emptyset \in B \subset B(L_2(B, \phi)).$$

Given an element $x \in *_B A_i$, we may consider the elements in the first column

$$x_{i_1 \cdots i_n} = Q_{i_1 \cdots i_n} x Q_\emptyset.$$

We note that $E(x^*x) = Q_\emptyset x^*x Q_\emptyset = 0$ implies $x Q_\emptyset = 0$ and hence $x_{i_1 \cdots i_n} = 0$. In order to show that E is faithful on $*_B A_i$, we have to show that $x = 0$. Let us first consider a tuple $(j_1, \dots, j_m)$ with $j_1 \neq i_n$. By approximation with elements in $C^*(*_B A_i)$, we deduce that for $h \in Q_{j_1 \cdots j_m}$

$$Q_{i_1 \cdots i_m} x(h) = x_{i_1 \cdots i_m} \otimes h.$$

Thus $E(x^*x) = 0$ implies that entry is 0. Now, we assume $j_1 = i_n$ and that there are no other coefficients. Let us consider $Q_{A_j} = Q_\emptyset + Q_j$. Again by approximation with elements in $C^*(*_B A_i)$ we see that $Q_{A_j} x^*x Q_{A_j}$ is a positive element in A_j such that

$$E(x^*x) \geq E(Q_{A_j} x^*x Q_{A_j}).$$

Since E is faithful, we deduce $Q_{i_1 \cdots i_m} x Q_{A_j} = 0$. Since this holds for all $i_1 \cdots i_m$, we get $x Q_{A_j} = 0$. Given an arbitrary element $h = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_m}$ with $j_1 = i_n$, we may use the right action and find

$$x(h) = R_{a_{j_m}} \cdots R_{a_{j_2}} x(a_{j_1}) = R_{a_{j_m}} \cdots R_{a_{j_2}} x Q_{A_j}(a_{j_1}) = 0$$

provided $E(x^*x) = 0$. This shows that E is faithful on $*_BA_i$. In this argument we use the conditional expectation $E_j : *_BA_i \rightarrow A_j$ given by

$$(7.1) \quad E_j(x) = Q_{A_j} x Q_{A_j}.$$

Clearly, E_j defines a completely positive map on $B(H_\phi)$. Given $a_1 \cdots a_n \in \mathring{A}_{i_1} \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B \mathring{A}_{i_n}$, we see that $E_j(x) = 0$ if and only if $n = 1$ and $i_1 = i_n = j$. By Kaplansky's density theorem we deduce $E_j(*_BA_i) = A_j$. We will use this opportunity to clarify the action of the modular group of $\phi = \varphi \circ E$ which is very similar to the classical scalar case. Indeed, for every $H_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ we deduce from

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_t^\varphi(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n, b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n) \\ &= \sigma_t^\varphi(E(a_n^* E(a_{n-1}^* E(\cdots a_2^* E(a_1^* b_1) b_2 \cdots b_{n-1}) b_n))) \\ &= E(\sigma_t^\varphi(a_n^* E(a_{n-1}^* E(\cdots a_2^* E(a_1^* b_1) b_2 \cdots b_{n-1}) b_n))) \\ &= E(\sigma_t^\varphi(a_n^*) E(\sigma_t^\varphi(a_{n-1}^*) E(\cdots E(\sigma_t^\varphi(a_1^*) \sigma_t^\varphi(b_1)) \cdots \sigma_t^\varphi(b_{n-1})) \sigma_t^\varphi(b_n))) \\ &= (\sigma_t^\varphi(a_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_t^\varphi(a_n), \sigma_t^\varphi(b_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_t^\varphi(b_n)) \end{aligned}$$

that $u_t^{i_1, \dots, i_n}(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \sigma_t^\varphi(a_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_t^\varphi(a_n)$ extends to a unitary on $H_{i_1 \dots i_n}$. Therefore $u_t = \sum_{i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n} u_t^{i_1, \dots, i_n}$ is a unitary on H_ϕ and it easily checked that the family $(u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is strongly continuous. Moreover, for $a \in A_j$, we find

$$u_t a u_t^* = \sigma_t^\varphi(a).$$

In particular $u_t C^*(*_BA_i) u_t^* \subset C^*(*_BA_i)$ and hence $u_t(*_BA_i) u_t^* = *_BA_i$. Let $a_1 \in \mathring{A}_{i_1}$, $a_2 \in \mathring{A}_{i_2}, \dots$, $a_n \in \mathring{A}_{i_n}$ be analytic elements and $x = b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_m \in \mathring{A}_{i_1} \cdots \mathring{A}_{i_n}$.

$$f(z) = \phi(x^* \sigma_{-iz}^\varphi(a_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{-iz}^\varphi(a_n) x)$$

is analytic. Obviously

$$f(it) = \phi(x^* \sigma_t^\varphi(a_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_t^\varphi(a_n)) = \phi(x^* u_t(a_1 \cdots a_n) u_t^*).$$

Moreover, since E is selfadjoint on $L_2(A_j)$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} f(1+it) &= \phi(b_{i_n}^* \cdots b_{i_1}^* \sigma_{-i+t}^\varphi(a_1) \cdots \sigma_{-i+t}^\varphi(a_n)) \\ &= \phi(b_n^* E(b_{n-1}^* \cdots E(b_1^* \sigma_{-i+t}^\varphi(a_1)) \cdots) \sigma_{-i+t}^\varphi(a_n)) \\ &= \phi(\sigma_t^\varphi(a_n) b_n^* E(b_{n-1}^* \cdots E(b_1^* \sigma_{-i+t}^\varphi(a_1)) \cdots)) \\ &= \phi\left(E(\sigma_t^\varphi(a_n) b_n^*) b_{n-1}^* E(b_{n-2}^* \cdots E(b_1^* \sigma_{-i+t}^\varphi(a_1)) \cdots) \sigma_{-i+t}^\varphi(a_{n-1})\right) \\ &= \cdots \\ &= \phi(\sigma_t^\varphi(a_1) E(\sigma_t^\varphi(a_2) \cdots E(\sigma_t^\varphi(a_{n-1}) E(\sigma_t^\varphi(a_n) b_n^*) b_{n-1}^*) \cdots) b_1^*) \\ &= \phi(u_t a_1 \cdots a_n u_t^* b_{i_n}^* \cdots b_{i_1}^*). \end{aligned}$$

By approximation, we obtain a two point function for every polynomial with analytic coefficients in $C^*(*_BA_i)$. Using Kaplansky's density theorem, we find a two point function obtained for arbitrary elements $x, y \in *_BA_i$ and thus by modular theory (see e.g. [St])

$$(7.2) \quad \sigma_t^\phi(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \sigma_t^{\varphi_{i_1}}(a_1) \cdots \sigma_t^{\varphi_{i_n}}(a_n)$$

for all $a_1 \in A_{i_1}, \dots, a_n \in A_{i_n}$.

For our estimates, it will be crucial to follow Voiculescu [Vo] in defining the projections

$$P_i = \sum_{i=i_1 \neq \dots i_n} Q_{i_1 \dots i_n} .$$

Note that P_i is in general not in $*_B A_i$.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $c \in A_i$ such that $E(c) = 0$, then*

$$(1 - P_i)c(1 - P_i) = 0 .$$

Proof: Given $a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_n} \in \mathring{A}_{i_1} \otimes_B \dots \otimes_B \mathring{A}_{i_n}$ and $i_1 \neq i$, we observe that $c(a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_n}) = ca_{i_1} \dots a_{i_n}$ is an element of $\mathring{A}_i \otimes_B \mathring{A}_{i_1} \otimes_B \dots \otimes_B \mathring{A}_{i_n}$ and thus $P_i(ca_{i_1} \dots a_{i_n}) = ca_{i_1} \dots a_{i_n}$. By linearity this yields the assertion. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 7.3. *For all $a \in A_i$,*

$$(1 - P_i)a(1 - P_i) = E(a)(1 - P_i) .$$

Proof: This is obvious from Lemma 7.2 by writing $a = a - E(a) + E(a)$. $\blacksquare$

The operator valued extension of Voiculescu's argument reads as follows.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $a_k \in \mathring{A}_{i_k}$ such that the $i_k, \dots, i_n$ are mutually different. Then*

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i_k} \right\| \leq \sup_{k=1, \dots, n} \|a_{i_k}\| + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n E(a_{i_k}^* a_{i_k}) \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n E(a_{i_k} a_{i_k}^*) \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} .$$

Proof: Let us use $P_k = P_{i_k}$. We deduce from Lemma 7.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k &= \sum_{k=1}^n P_k a_k P_k + \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - P_k) a_k P_k + \sum_{k=1}^n P_k a_k (1 - P_k) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - P_k) a_k (1 - P_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n P_k a_k P_k + \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - P_k) a_k P_k + \sum_{k=1}^n P_k a_k (1 - P_k) . \end{aligned}$$

Since P_k 's are mutually orthogonal, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n P_k a_k P_k \right\| = \sup_k \|P_k a_k P_k\| \leq \sup_k \|a_k\| .$$

Now, we consider the second term. By orthogonality, positivity and the module property, we deduce from Corollary 7.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - P_k) a_k P_k \right\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{k,l} (1 - P_k) a_k P_k P_l a_l^* (1 - P_l) \right\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - P_k) a_k P_k a_k^* (1 - P_k) \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - P_k) a_k a_k^* (1 - P_k) \right\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - P_k) E(a_k a_k^*) (1 - P_k) \right\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n E(a_k a_k^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - P_k) (1 - P_k) E(a_k a_k^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n E(a_k a_k^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(a_k a_k^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n E(a_k a_k^*) \right\|.
\end{aligned}$$

The calculation for the third term is very similar. ■

We will now prove a converse of Proposition 7.4.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $i_1, \dots, i_n$ be mutually different and $a_1 \in \mathring{A}_{i_1}, \dots, a_n \in \mathring{A}_{i_n}$. Let $D_\phi^\mathcal{M}$, $D_{\varphi_{i_k}}^{A_{i_k}}$ and D_φ^B be the density of ϕ , φ in $L_1(\mathcal{M})$, $L_1(A_{i_k})$ and $L_1(B)$, respectively. Then*

$$(7.3) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k D_\phi^\mathcal{M} \right\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M})} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| a_k D_{\varphi_{i_k}}^{A_{i_k}} \right\|_{L_1(A_{i_k}, \phi)},$$

$$(7.4) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k D_\phi^\mathcal{M} \right\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M})} \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n D_\varphi^B E(a_k^* a_k) D_\varphi^B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_1(B)},$$

$$(7.5) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n D_\phi^\mathcal{M} a_k \right\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M})} \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n D_\phi^B E(a_k a_k^*) D_\phi^B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_1(B)}.$$

Proof: Since $\phi \circ E = \phi$, we have a natural family of embeddings $\iota_p : L_p(B) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M})$ satisfying

$$(7.6) \quad \iota_p([D_\phi^B]^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} b [D_\phi^B]^{\frac{\theta}{p}}) = [D_\phi^\mathcal{M}]^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} b [D_\phi^\mathcal{M}]^{\frac{\theta}{p}}$$

for all $b \in B$ (see [JX]). Moreover, we have an E -preserving conditional expectation $E_j : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A_j$ (see (7.1)). Therefore, we have a family of isometric embeddings $\iota_p : L_p(A_j) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M})$. $(\iota_p)_{0 < p < \infty}$ satisfying

$$(7.7) \quad \iota_p([D_{\varphi_j}^{A_j}]^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} a [D_{\varphi_j}^{A_j}]^{\frac{\theta}{p}}) = [D_\phi^\mathcal{M}]^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} a [D_\phi^\mathcal{M}]^{\frac{\theta}{p}}$$

for all $a \in A_j$. The triangle inequality implies (7.3). For the proof of (7.4), we consider $z = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k D_\phi^\mathcal{M}$ with $a_k \in \mathring{A}_{i_k}$. Then, we have

$$E(z^* z) = D_\phi^\mathcal{M} \sum_{j,k} E(a_j^* a_k) D_\phi^\mathcal{M} = D_\phi^\mathcal{M} \sum_k E(a_k^* a_k) D_\phi^\mathcal{M}.$$

Thus by Lemma 6.9 and (7.6), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k D_\phi^{\mathcal{M}} \right\|_1 &\leq \left\| E(z^* z)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_1 = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n D_\phi^{\mathcal{M}} E(a_k^* a_k) D_\phi^{\mathcal{M}} \right\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n D_\phi^B E(a_k^* a_k) D_\phi^B \right\|_{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Assertion (7.5) follows by taking adjoints, an isometry on $L_1(\mathcal{M})$. ■

Given a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$ and a conditional expectation $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow B$, we will now construct an embedding of $\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ in the predual of an appropriate amalgamated free product. Let us assume that $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a normal faithful state on $\mathcal{N}$ satisfying $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \mathcal{E} = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$. In order to achieve mean 0 elements, we will work with the algebras $\mathcal{B} = \ell_\infty^2(\mathcal{N})$ and the state $\varphi_{\mathcal{B}}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}(x) + \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}(y))$. Let us denote by E_2 the conditional expectation $E_2(x, y) = (\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{E}(x) + \mathcal{E}(y)), \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{E}(x) + \mathcal{E}(y)))$. Clearly, $\varphi_{\mathcal{B}} \circ E_2 = \varphi_{\mathcal{B}}$ and E_2 is a conditional expectation onto (a copy of) B . Given $x \in \mathcal{N}$, we can now define the symmetrization $\varepsilon x = (x, -x) \in \mathcal{B}$ which obviously satisfies $E_2(\varepsilon x) = 0$. We will drop the indices 2 , $\mathcal{N}$, and $\mathcal{B}$ in the following, because there are immediate from their context. Given these data, we may now define $A_i = \mathcal{B}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\mathcal{M}_n = *_B A_i$ the amalgamated free product over B .

Proposition 7.6. *Let $\mathcal{M}_n$, $A_1, \dots, A_n$, $\mathcal{B}$, B and E be as above. The space $\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ is 3-complemented in $L_1(\mathcal{M})$.*

Proof: Let $D_\phi^{\mathcal{M}}$, D_φ^B , $D_\varphi^{\mathcal{N}}$, $D_\varphi^{\mathcal{B}} = (\frac{1}{2}D_\varphi^{\mathcal{N}}, \frac{1}{2}D_\varphi^{\mathcal{N}})$ be the densities of ϕ , φ in $L_1(\mathcal{M})$, $L_1(B)$, $L_1(\mathcal{N})$, $L_1(\mathcal{B}) = L_1(\mathcal{N}) \oplus L_1(\mathcal{N})$, respectively. We define the map $T : L_1(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow L_1(\mathcal{M})$ by

$$T(x D_\varphi^{\mathcal{N}}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k(\varepsilon x) D_\phi^{\mathcal{M}}.$$

According to Lemma 7.5 (see (7.3)), we have

$$\|T(x D_\varphi^{\mathcal{N}})\|_1 \leq n \|(\varepsilon x) D_\varphi^{\mathcal{B}}\|_{L_1(\mathcal{B})} = n \|x D_\varphi^{\mathcal{N}}\|_{L_1(\mathcal{N})}.$$

Similarly, we deduce from the fact that εx is mean 0 and Lemma 7.5 (see 7.4) that

$$\|T(x D_\varphi^{\mathcal{N}})\| \leq \left\| D_\varphi^B \sum_{k=1}^n E(x^* x) D_\varphi^B \right\|_{L_{\frac{1}{2}}(B)}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{n} \left\| (D_\varphi^B E(x^* x) D_\varphi^B)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_1(B)}.$$

For an analytic element $x \in \mathcal{N}_a$, we deduce from the action σ_t^ϕ on the free product (see (7.2)) that

$$(7.8) \quad T(D_\varphi^{\mathcal{N}} x) = T(\sigma_{-i}^\varphi(x) D_\varphi^{\mathcal{N}}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_{-i}^\phi(\pi_k(\varepsilon x)) D_\phi^{\mathcal{M}} = \sum_{k=1}^n D_\phi^{\mathcal{M}} \pi_k(\varepsilon x).$$

By continuity this extends to all elements $x \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence, (7.5) implies the missing inequality and we deduce

$$\|T : \mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow L_1(\mathcal{M})\| \leq 1.$$

We define the map $S : \bar{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}$ by

$$S(y) = \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k(\varepsilon y).$$

We refer to Lemma 6.10 for calculating the dual space $\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})^*$ (formed with the antilinear duality). According to Proposition 7.4, we have $\|S : (\bar{\mathcal{N}}, \mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})^*) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}\| \leq 1$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \langle S(y), T(xD_\varphi^{\mathcal{N}}) \rangle \rangle_{(\bar{\mathcal{M}}, L_1(\mathcal{M}))} &= \text{tr}_{\mathcal{M}}(S(y)^* T(xD_\varphi^{\mathcal{N}})) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \text{tr}_{\mathcal{M}}(\pi_k(\varepsilon y)^* \pi_k(\varepsilon x) D_\phi^{\mathcal{M}}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(y^* x) = \langle \langle y, x \rangle \rangle_n. \end{aligned}$$

This shows S^*T coincides with the natural embedding of $\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ into its bidual and hence T and S are isomorphisms. (Note that S^* is linear!) Now, we show that

$$S^*(L_1(\mathcal{M})) \subset \mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, d).$$

Indeed, given an element $z = a_1 \cdots a_n D_\phi^{\mathcal{M}}$ with $a_1 \cdots a_n \in \dot{A}_{i_1} \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B \dot{A}_{i_n}$. We observe that for $S^*(z) \neq 0$ to hold we must have $n = 1$. If $z = \pi_k((a_1, a_2)) D_\phi^{\mathcal{M}}$ is given by $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{N}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \langle S(y), z \rangle \rangle &= \text{tr}((\pi_k(\varepsilon y))^* \pi_k((a_1, a_2)) D_\phi^{\mathcal{M}}) = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(y^* a_1) - \varphi(y^* a_2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{\mathcal{N}}(y^* (a_1 - a_2) D_\varphi^{\mathcal{N}}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies $S^*((a_1, a_2)) D_\phi^{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 - a_2) D_\varphi^{\mathcal{N}}$. By continuity and density, we deduce the inclusion $S^*(L_1(\mathcal{M})) \subset L_1(\mathcal{N})$. Hence, $S^*T = \text{id}_{\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})}$ and the assertion is proved. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 7.7. *Let N be a von Neumann algebra with normal faithful trace τ , $d \in L_1(N, \tau)$ a positive element with full support. Let $\varphi_d(x) = \tau(xd)$. On $\ell_\infty^2(N)$ the normal faithful state is given by $\varphi_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x_1) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x_2)$. Then $\mathbb{K}_n(N, d^{\frac{1}{2}})$ is 3-completely complemented in $L_1(*_{i=1}^n(\ell_\infty^2(N), \varphi_2))$.*

Proof: Let us refer to the proof of Example 6.12 on how we may identify $\mathbb{K}_n(N, d)$ with the image $i_1(\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{N}, \sqrt{d}))$ in Haagerup space $L_1(N)$. For fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider $\mathcal{N} = M_m(N)$ and the conditional expectation $\mathcal{E}(x \otimes y) = \phi_d(y)x$ onto $B = M_m$. On B we use the normal faithful state $\varphi_B = \frac{\text{tr}}{m}$. We recall that $\mathcal{B} = \ell_\infty^2(\mathcal{N}) = M_m(\ell_\infty^2(N))$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have $A_i = \mathcal{B}$. We deduce from Lemma 7.1 that the amalgamated product $\mathcal{M}_n$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{M}_n = *_{M_m} A_i = M_m(*_{i=1}^n(\ell_\infty^2(N), \varphi_2)).$$

Let us define $\mathcal{M}_n^1 = *_{i=1}^n(\ell_\infty^2(N), \varphi_2)$ and ϕ_n^1 for the faithful normal state $\phi_n^1 = *_{i=1}^n \varphi_2$. Using the fact that $\frac{\text{tr}}{m}$ is a normalized trace it is clear that

$$L_1(\mathcal{M}_n) = L_1(M_m, \frac{\text{tr}}{m}) \hat{\otimes} L_1(\mathcal{M}_n^1)$$

and the density of $D_\phi^{\mathcal{M}_n}$ is given by $\text{id}_{\ell_\infty^2} \otimes D_{\phi_n^1}^{\mathcal{M}_n^1}$. Then, we may apply Proposition 7.6 for $m = 1$ and deduce that

$$T(xD_\phi^{\mathcal{N}}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k(\varepsilon x) D_{\phi_n^1}^{\mathcal{M}_n^1}$$

is a contraction. However, using Proposition 7.6 for m , we deduce that also

$$\begin{aligned} (id_{L_1(M_m)} \otimes T)([x_{ij}](id_{\ell_2^m} \otimes D_{\phi_d}^N)) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (id_{M_m} \otimes \pi_k)([\varepsilon x_{ij}])(id_{\ell_2^m} \otimes D_{\phi_n^1}^{\mathcal{M}_n^1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (id_{M_m} \otimes \pi_k)([\varepsilon x_{ij}])D_{\phi}^{\mathcal{M}_n} = T_m([x_{ij}]D_{\varphi}^N) \end{aligned}$$

is a contraction. Hence T is a complete contraction. Similarly, using the concrete form

$$S_m((a \otimes b_1, a \otimes b_2)D_{\phi}^{\mathcal{M}}) = (a \otimes \frac{b_1 - b_2}{2})D_{\varphi}^N = a \otimes (\frac{b_1 - b_2}{2})D_{\phi_d}^N$$

we obtain $S_m = id \otimes S$. Therefore S has cb-norm less than 3 and still $ST = id_{K(N, d^{\frac{1}{2}})}$. $\blacksquare$

Before, we proof our main results we need some results on the QWEP property.

Lemma 7.8. *Let (M^s) be a family of QWEP von Neumann algebras, then the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} = (\prod_{s, \mathcal{U}} M_*^s)^*$ also has QWEP.*

Proof: According to Kirchberg [Ki2], we know that $\prod M^s$ is QWEP and thus [Ki2], $(\prod M^s)^{**}$ is QWEP. Following Groh [Gr], we observe that the space of functionals $\prod_{s, \mathcal{U}} M_*^s$ on $\prod M^s$ is left and right invariant under the action of $\prod M^s$ and hence there is a central projection $z_{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $\mathcal{M} \cong z_{\mathcal{U}}(\prod M^s)^{**}$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{M} = (\prod M_n)^{**}/I_{\mathcal{U}}$, where $I_{\mathcal{U}} = z_{\mathcal{U}}(\prod M^s)^{**}$. Thus $\mathcal{M}$ is QWEP. $\blacksquare$

The next lemma follows an argument of Pisier/Shlyaktenko [PS, Lemma 2.5] and is based results of Dykema [Dk2].

Lemma 7.9. *Let A be a finite dimensional algebra and φ be a state on A , then the free product $*_{i=1}^n(A, \psi)$ is QWEP.*

Proof: In [Ki2] Kirchberg proved that $L(\mathbb{F}_n) = *_{i=1}^n L^\infty$ has QWEP. In particular, if φ is a trace, then we can find a trace preserving embedding of A into $L(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Therefore $*_{i=1}^n(A, \varphi)$ is the range of a conditional expectation $\mathcal{E} : L(\mathbb{F}_{2n}) \rightarrow *_{i=1}^n(A, \varphi)$. Let us assume that $\varphi(x) = tr(xd)$ such that $\ln d$ is a duaydic rational. Then we can apply Dykema's results [Dk2, Theorem 1 and Theorem 4] to deduce that $*_{i=1}^n(A_i, \varphi) = M_0 \oplus \mathcal{M}_1$ where $\mathcal{M}_0$ is finite dimensional or $\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{M}_1$ is a type III_λ factor with centralizer $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ and the induced state is almost periodic. In particular, $\mathcal{M}_1$ is a factor. According to Connes result [C2, Theorem 4.4.1], $\mathcal{M}_1$ is isomorphic to a crossed product of $L(F_\infty) \rtimes G$ with G a discrete amenable group. Hence there exists a non-normal conditional expectation from the discrete core $L(F_\infty) \otimes B(\ell_2(G))$ onto $\mathcal{M}_1$. Since $L(F_\infty) \otimes B(\ell_2(G))$ is QWEP by Kirchberg's [Ki2] results, we deduce that $\mathcal{M}_1$ is QWEP. For general ϕ , we use an ultraproduct argument in approximating $\ln d$ by duadic rationals. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 7.10. *Let N be a semifinite von Neumann algebra with trace τ and d a positive density in $L_2^{ap}(N, \tau)$. Then $K(N, d)$ is 3-completely complemented in the predual of a von Neumann $\mathcal{M}$ algebra. If N is hyperfinite, then there is such an $\mathcal{M}$ with QWEP.*

Proof: Since $d \in L_2^{ap}$ and in view of Lemma 6.1, it suffices to show this for a positive density $d \in L_2(N, \tau)$. By normalization we can assume $\tau(d^2) = 1$ and defined the state $\varphi_d(x) = \tau(d^2 x)$. According to Lemma 6.7, $K(N, d)$ is completely contractively complemented in $\prod_{n, \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{K}_n(N, d)$. According to Theorem 7.7, $\prod_{n, \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{K}_n(N, d)$ is 3 completely contractively complemented in

$$(7.9) \quad \mathcal{M}_* = \prod_{n, \mathcal{U}} L_1(\mathcal{M}_n)$$

for every free ultrafilter on the integers. The first assertion is proved. Now, we assume in addition that N is hyperfinite. According to Lemma 6.4, $K(N, d)$ is completely contractively complemented in

$$\prod_{\alpha, \mathcal{U}} K(A_\alpha, d_\alpha),$$

where the A_α 's are finite dimensional. Thus $\mathcal{M}_n(\alpha) = *_{i=1}^n \ell_\infty^2(A_\alpha)$ is a free product of finite dimensional von Neumann algebras. According to Lemma 7.9, all the $\mathcal{M}_n(\alpha)$'s (and $\mathcal{M}_n^{op}(\alpha)$) are QWEP. Using Lemma 7.8, we deduce that $\prod_{n, \mathcal{U}} L_1(\mathcal{M}_n(\alpha))$ is a predual of a QWEP von Neumann algebra. Using a further ultraproduct

$$\prod_{\alpha, \mathcal{U}'} \prod_{n, \mathcal{U}} L_1(\mathcal{M}_n(\alpha))$$

we stay in the class of preduals with QWEP (after another application of Lemma 7.8). $\blacksquare$

Corollary 7.11. *Let N be semifinite hyperfinite von Neumann algebras with trace τ and d be a density in $L_2^{ap}(N, \tau)$. Then*

$$K(N, d) \hat{\otimes} K(N, d)$$

is 9 completely complemented in

$$\mathcal{M}_* \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_*$$

for some von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with QWEP.

Proof of Proposition 4.6 and Theorem 2: By Lemma 6.5 and Lemma 6.6, we see that G is completely contractively complemented in $K(M_2(\ell_\infty(L^\infty(\mu))), d)$ where $d(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{t} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1-t} \end{pmatrix}$. According to Lemma 6.4, $d \in L_2^{ap}$. Hence, the assumptions of Theorem 7.10 and Corollary 7.11 are satisfied and Theorem 2 follows immediately. The lower estimate in Proposition 4.6 follows immediately by complementation. $\blacksquare$

8. APPENDIX

We will explain how the central limit procedure leads to a concrete realization of the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ having OH in its predual. This procedure relies on Speicher's central limit theorem. Our basic data are given by a σ -finite measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) , the commutative von Neumann algebra $L_\infty(\mu) = L_\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ and two densities d_1, d_2 . On $N = M_2(L_\infty(\mu))$ we define the density

$$d = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and the weight} \quad \phi_d(x) = \int \text{tr}(d(\omega)x(\omega))d\mu(\omega).$$

Lemma 8.1. *Assume in addition $T = \phi_d(1) < \infty$ and let $\phi_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2T}(\phi_d(x_1) + \phi_d(x_2))$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{M}_n = *_{i=1}^n(\ell_\infty^2(N), \phi_2)$ and $\phi_n = *_{i=1}^n \phi_2$ the product state with density $D \in L_1(\mathcal{M}_n)$. Then $\mathcal{M}_n$ is QWEP and there exists a mappings $u_n : L_\infty(\mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$, $v_n : L_\infty(\mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ such that*

$$\max \left\{ \left\| \sum_k b_k \otimes u_n(f_k) \right\|_{\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M}_n}, \left\| \sum_k b_k \otimes v_n(f_k) \right\|_{\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M}_n^{op}} \right\} \leq 3$$

$$\max \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} \left\| \sum_k b_k \otimes f_k \right\|_{\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\min} L_\infty(\mu)}, \left\| \sum_{k,j} b_k^* b_j \int \bar{f}_k f_j d_2 d\mu \right\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \left\| \sum_{k,j} b_k b_j^* \int \bar{f}_j f_k d_1 d\mu \right\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$$

for all C^* -algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $b_k \in \mathcal{B}$, $f_k \in L_\infty(\mu)$. Moreover,

$$\text{tr}_{\mathcal{M}_n}(u_n(f) D_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} v_n(g) D_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \int_\Omega f g \sqrt{d_1 d_2} d\mu$$

for all $f, g \in L_\infty(\mu)$.

Proof: The QWEP property follows from Lemma 7.9. We define $U_n : N \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ by

$$U_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k(\varepsilon x).$$

Since $\pi_k(\varepsilon x)$ and $\pi_j(\varepsilon x)$ are freely independent mean 0 elements, we obtain by approximation with analytic elements that

$$\text{tr}(U_n(x) D_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} U_n(y) D_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{T}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{T} \text{tr}_{\ell_\infty^2(N)}(\varepsilon x d^{\frac{1}{2}} y \varepsilon d^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \text{tr}_N(x d^{\frac{1}{2}} y d^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

We define

$$u_n(f) = U_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad v_n(f) = U_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ f & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, we deduce

$$\text{tr}(u_n(f) D_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} v_n(g) D_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \int f \sqrt{d_2} g \sqrt{d_1} d\mu.$$

The second assertion is proved. In order to prove the first assertion, we consider a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^m b_k \otimes f_k \in \mathcal{B} \otimes N.$$

We define $E : \mathcal{B} \otimes \ell_\infty^2(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by $E(b \otimes n) = \phi_2(n)b$. According to Lemma 7.1, we know that the algebras

$$A_k = id \otimes \pi_k(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\min} \ell_\infty^2(N))$$

are freely independent over E . Therefore, we map apply Proposition 7.4 and deduce

$$\|id \otimes u_n(x)\|_{\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M}_n} \leq 3 \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} \max \left\{ \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\min} \ell_\infty^2(N)}, \right.$$

$$\left. \sqrt{n} \left\| E \left((id \otimes u_n)(x)^* (id \otimes u_n)(x) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \sqrt{n} \left\| E \left((id \otimes u_n)(x) (id \otimes u_n)(x)^* \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}.$$

Using the multiplication with a matrix unit, we get

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\min} \ell_\infty^2(N)} = \|x\|_{\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\min} L_\infty(\mu)}.$$

It is easily checked that

$$E\left((id \otimes u_n)(x)^*(id \otimes u_n)(x)\right) = \sum_{k,j} b_k^* b_j \int \bar{f}_k f_j \frac{d_2}{T} d\mu$$

and

$$E\left((id \otimes u_n)(x)(id \otimes u_n)(x)^*\right) = \sum_{k,j} b_k b_j^* \int f_k \bar{f}_j \frac{d_1}{T} d\mu$$

This yields the first inequality for $\mathcal{M}_n$. Note that $\mathcal{M}_n^{op} = *_{i=1}^n(\ell_\infty^2(N^{op}), \phi_2)$. We denote by $m_1 \circ m_2 = m_2 m_1$ the reversed multiplication. We may apply Proposition 7.4 to the same map U_n defined on N^{op} . Since $L_\infty(\mu)^{op} = L_\infty(\mu)$ the first term is unchanged. For the second term, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} E\left((id \otimes v_n)(x)^* \circ (id \otimes v_n)(x)\right) &= \sum_{k,j} b_k^* b_j E\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{f}_k \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ f_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k,j} b_k^* b_j \int f_j \bar{f}_k \frac{d_2}{T} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

By the commutativity of $L_\infty(\mu)$, we obtain the same right hand side for u_n and v_n . ■

Remark 8.2. Let us consider the space case $\Omega = \{1, \dots, m\}$, μ the counting measure and $d_1(j) = \lambda_j$, $d_2(j) = \lambda_j^{-1}$. This yields $T = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j + \lambda_j^{-1}$ and we find map $u_n : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$, $v_n : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n^{op}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\max \left\{ \left\| \sum_k b_k \otimes u_n(e_k) \right\|_{\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M}_n}, \left\| \sum_k b_k \otimes v_n(e_k) \right\|_{\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M}_n^{op}} \right\} \\ &\leq 3 \max \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} \sup_k \|b_k\| \left\| \sum_k b_k^* b_k \lambda_k^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \left\| \sum_k b_k b_k^* \lambda_k \right\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

holds for all C^* -algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $b_k \in \mathcal{B}$. If $n \geq T \max \lambda_k^{-1}$, the first term can be estimated by the second term. Let $\pi_l : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow B(L_2(\mathcal{M}_n))$ the natural representation, $J(x) = x^*$ the conjugation operator and $\pi_r(x) = J\pi_l(x^*)J$ the representation of $\mathcal{M}_n^{op}$ in $\pi_l(\mathcal{M}_n)'$. Then, we deduce from $\sqrt{d_1 d_2} = 1$ that

$$(D_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}, \pi_l(u_n(e_k))\pi_r(v_n(e_j))D_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \text{tr}(D_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} u_n(e_k) D_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} v_n(e_j)) = \delta_{kj}.$$

This is the key combinatorial estimate from [PS, Lemma 2.4]. However, we also have to know that $\mathcal{M}_n$ is QWEP, see Lemma 7.9. This provides an alternative (though similar) approach to the key probabilistic tools based on the module version of Voiculescu's inequality.

In order to find a concrete realization of the von Neumann algebra supporting OH , we will use Speicher's central limit theorem [Sp1, Theorem 5] (adapted to our setting).

Theorem 8.3. [Speicher] Let N be a von Neumann algebra, $\varphi : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a positive faithful state and $T = \varphi(1)$. Let $\varphi_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2T}\varphi(x_1, x_2)$ and $\mathcal{M}_n = \ast_{i=1}^n(\ell_\infty^2(N), \varphi_2)$

$$U_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k(\varepsilon x).$$

Let ϕ_n be the corresponding state associated to the vacuum vector. Then for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in N$ and

$$\lim_n \phi_n(U_n(x_1) \cdots U_n(x_m)) = \begin{cases} 0 & m \text{ odd} \\ \sum_{(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_{m/2}, j_{m/2}) \in NCP_m} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \varphi(x_{i_k} x_{j_k}) & m \text{ even} \end{cases}.$$

Here NCP_m stands for the non-crossing pair partitions of $\{1, \dots, m\}$.

Momentarily, we still assume $\phi_d(1) = T$. Then, we may pass to the limit for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and deduce for a single self-adjoint element $x \in N$ that

$$\lim_n \phi_n(U_n(x)^m) = \text{card}(NCP_m) \phi_d(x^2).$$

This means the limit object has the distribution of a semi-circular random variable. In the previous section, we have already seen how to define this limit object as an operator. We consider a free ultra-filter $\mathcal{U}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{U}} = (\prod_{n \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_n)_*$. Let us denote $\phi_{\mathcal{U}} = (\phi_n)$ and $e_{\mathcal{U}}$ the support of $\phi_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then $\phi_{\mathcal{U}}$ defines a normal faithful state on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{U}} = e_{\mathcal{U}} \tilde{\mathcal{M}} e_{\mathcal{U}}$. For a bounded element $x \in N$, we know that $U_{\infty}(x) = (U_n(x))_n$ is a bounded sequence and hence an element in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{U}}$. If x is in addition φ_d analytic, we deduce from Raynaud's isomorphism [Ra] that

$$U_{\infty}(x) D_{\phi_{\mathcal{U}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = (U_n(x) D_{\phi_n}^{\frac{1}{2}})_n = (D_{\phi_n}^{\frac{1}{2}} U_n(\sigma_{\frac{\varphi_d}{2}}(x)))_n = D_{\phi_{\mathcal{U}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{\infty}(\sigma_{\frac{\varphi_d}{2}}(x)).$$

This implies $\phi_{\mathcal{U}}(U(x)^*(1 - e)U(x))$ and hence $(1 - e)U(x)e = 0$. Hence, for analytic elements $x \in N$, we deduce that $U_{\infty}(x) = eU(x)e$ still satisfies

$$(8.1) \quad \phi_{\mathcal{U}}(U_{\infty}(x_1) \cdots U_{\infty}(x_m)) = \sum_{(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_{m/2}, j_{m/2}) \in NCP_m} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \varphi_d(x_{i_k} x_{j_k})$$

for even m and 0 else. In particular, for selfadjoint x , the element $U_{\infty}(x)$ is semicircular and hence satisfies (see e.g. [VDN, Corollary 2.6.5])

$$\|U_{\infty}(x)\| \leq 2\varphi_d(x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By continuity, we may now extend U_{∞} to a continuous map $U_{\infty} : L_2(N_{sa}, \phi_d) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ which still satisfies the moment formula (8.1). In order to remove the assumption $\phi_d(1) = T < \infty$, we follow the approach in Theorem 7.10 and first pick an increasing sequence (f_{α}) in the centralizer of N converging to 1 such that $\phi(f_{\alpha}) = T_{\alpha} < \infty$. Using the construction above, we obtain $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ and a map $U_{\alpha} : L_2(f_{\alpha} N_{sa}, \phi_d) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. Then, we consider $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = (\prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{U}'} (\mathcal{M}_{\alpha})_*)^*$ and the support e of the ultraproduct state $\phi = (\phi_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$. Using the same trick as above, we find deduce that for analytic elements $(U_{\alpha}(x))_{\alpha}$ commutes with e . We use $\mathcal{M} = e\tilde{\mathcal{M}}e$ and obtain a map $U_{\infty} : L_2(N_{sa}, \phi_d) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ still satisfying (8.1). We extend U_{∞} by linearity to complex linear map. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & f \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f \\ \bar{f} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{-i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & if \\ i\bar{f} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we see that U_∞ is well defined for matrices which have only entries in the right upper or left lower corner. According Theorem 7.10 we obtain a completely contractive embedding $u_1 : K(N, \sqrt{d}) \rightarrow L_1(\mathcal{M})$ given by

$$(8.2) \quad u_1 \left((D_{f_{\alpha_0} \phi_d}^{\frac{1}{2}} x, y D_{f_{\alpha_0} \phi_d}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \ker Q \right) = D_\phi U_\infty(x) + U_\infty(y) D_\phi$$

such $\|u\|_{cb} \leq 1$. Since we are interested in $K(L_\infty(\mu), \sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2})$, we have to restrict u_1 to matrices which only have an entry in the right upper corner. It is also more appropriate to consider the slightly smaller algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$ generated by the image under U_∞ of

$$L^2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f \\ \bar{f} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid \int |f|^2 (d_1 + d_2) d\mu < \infty \right\} \subset L_2(N_{sa}, \varphi_d).$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$ is complemented in $\mathcal{M}$ because we have

$$(8.3) \quad \sigma_t^\phi(U_\infty(x)) = U_\infty(\sigma_t^{\varphi_d}(x))$$

for all $x \in N$. Using complex linear combinations of selfadjoint elements, we see that u_1 maps into

$$L_1(\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)).$$

We may apply Lemma 8.1 and deduce that the map $u_\infty(f) = U_\infty \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

provides a completely bounded map on $K(L_\infty(\mu), \sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2})^*$ with cb -norm ≤ 2 . (Here we also used the density of $L_\infty(\mu)$ in $K(L_\infty(\mu), \sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2})^*$ see Lemma 6.2). Thus $K(L_\infty(\mu), \sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2})^*$ is 2- cb complemented in $\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$.

The advantage of this smaller algebra is also given by the fact that unitaries (contraction) on $L_2(\mu)$ extend to automorphism on $\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$. More precisely, let us assume that a unitary $w : L_2(\mu) \rightarrow L_2(\mu)$ commutes with the canonical multiplication operators M_{d_1}, M_{d_2} associated with d_1, d_2 , respectively. Then we define $W : L_{sa}^2 \rightarrow L_{sa}^2$ as follows

$$(8.4) \quad W \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f \\ \bar{f} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w(f) \\ \frac{0}{w(f)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since w is complex linear, we find that $W(x)$ is selfadjoint if x is. We also have

$$\phi_d(W(x)W(y)) = \phi_d(xy)$$

for all $x, y \in L_{sa}^2$ because w commutes with d_1 and d_2 . In view of (8.1), we see that

$$\pi_w(U_\infty(x)) = U_\infty(W(x)) \quad , \quad x \in L_{sa}^2$$

extends to a ϕ -preserving automorphism of $\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$. Note also that in view of (8.3) and

$$W \circ \sigma_t^{\varphi_d} = \sigma_t^{\varphi_d} \circ W,$$

π_w commutes with σ_t^ϕ . For a general contraction v , we work in $L_2(\mu) \oplus L_2(\mu) = L_2(\mu; \ell_2^2)$ and define the unitary

$$w(v) = \begin{pmatrix} v & \sqrt{1 - vv^*} \\ -\sqrt{1 - v^*v} & v^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the densities $d_1 \otimes 1, d_2 \otimes 1$, it suffices to note that the canonical image of $L^2 \subset L^2 \oplus L^2$ is $\sigma_t^{\varphi_d}$ invariant. Hence, $\pi(u(x)) = u(x, 0)$ extends to a $*$ -preserving homomorphism onto a σ_t^ϕ invariant subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}_{d \otimes 1}$. Let E be the canonical conditional expectation, we see that

$$\pi_v = E \circ \pi_{w(v)} \pi$$

is a completely positive map such that $\phi \circ \pi_v = \phi$ and

$$\pi_v(u_\infty(f)) = u_\infty(vf).$$

This implies $\pi_{v_1 v_2} = \pi_{v_1} \pi_{v_2}$. Using the moment formula it is also clear that the map $\pi(v) = \pi_v$ is continuous with respect to the strong topology.

We may apply this in particular to a projection $p \in L_2(\mu)$ which commutes with d_1 and d_2 and denote by $P : L_{sa}^2 \rightarrow L_{sa}^2$ the induced projection. Using Speicher's moment formula 8.3 and the definitions of free cumulants, we deduce that

$$k_m[U(x_1), \dots, U(x_m)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m > 2 \\ \phi_d(x_1 x_2) & \text{if } m = 2 \end{cases}.$$

In particular, it follows that $U_\infty(P(L^2))$ and $U_\infty((1-P)(L^2))$ are $*$ -free (see [NSS, section 1.4] and the references therein) with respect to ϕ . Therefore $\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$ is the free product of $\pi_p(\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2))$ and $\pi_{1-p}(\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2))$.

Resuming our results, we obtain

- i) There exists a (weak*-continuous) map

$$u_\infty : K(L_\infty(\mu), \sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2})^* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$$

such that $u_1^* u_\infty = id$ and

$$\|u_\infty\|_{cb} \left\| u_1^* : \mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2) \rightarrow K(L_\infty(\mu), \sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2})^* \right\|_{cb} \leq 2$$

and

$$tr(u_\infty(f)^* D_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\infty(g) D_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \int \bar{f} g \sqrt{d_1 d_2} d\mu.$$

- ii) If $w : L_2(\mu) \rightarrow L_2(\mu)$ is contraction which commutes with the multiplication map M_{d_2} and M_{d_1} , then there exists a ϕ -preserving normal completely positive map $\alpha_w : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$u_\infty \circ w = \alpha_w \circ u_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad u_\infty \circ w = \alpha_w \circ u_\infty.$$

If u is unitary α_w is $*$ -homomorphism.

- iv) If p is a projection in $B(L_2(\mu))$ which commutes with d_1 and d_2 , then there exists freely independent subalgebras $\mathcal{M}_p, \mathcal{M}_{1-p} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$ such that

$$(\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2), \phi) = (\mathcal{M}_p, \phi) * (\mathcal{M}_{1-p}, \phi)$$

and $u(pL_2((d_1 + d_2)\mu)) \subset \mathcal{M}_p$.

It is now very easy to provide a concrete construction of $\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$. Indeed, we may use the Fock space

$$\mathcal{F}(L_2(\mathbb{R}; L_{sa}^2)) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \oplus (L_2(\mathbb{R}; L_{sa}^2))^{\otimes n}$$

and then for a selfadjoint $x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f \\ \bar{f} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$u_{\mathcal{F}}(1_{[0,t]}x)(h_1 \otimes \dots \otimes h_n) = 1_{[0,t]}x \otimes h_1 \otimes \dots \otimes h_n + (1_{[0,t]}x, h_1) h_2 \otimes \dots \otimes h_n.$$

Of course the scalar product $(1_{[0,t]}x, h)$ depends on d_1, d_2 and μ , namely for $h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ \bar{g} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ we have

$$(1_{[0,t]}x, 1_{[0,t]}h) = t \int \bar{f} g d_1 d\mu + t \int \bar{f} g d_2 d\mu.$$

Let us denote by Ω the vacuum vector corresponding to $n = 0$. According to Speicher's central limit theorem [Sp1, Theorem5], we have

$$(\Omega, u_{\mathcal{F}}(x_1) \cdots u_{\mathcal{F}}(x_m) \Omega) = \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{\{V_1, \dots, V_r\} \in NCP_m} Q(V_1) \cdots Q(V_r)$$

and

$$Q(\{i_1, \dots, i_l\}) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N(\Omega, u(1_{[0, \frac{1}{N}]} x_{i_1}) \cdots u(1_{[0, \frac{1}{N}]} x_{i_l}) \Omega)$$

Following [Sp1, Theorem6], we see that only pair partitions provide a non-zero term and in this case

$$Q((i, j)) = (\Omega, u_{\mathcal{F}}(1_{[0,1]} x_i) u_{\mathcal{F}}(1_{[0,1]} x_j) \Omega) = (x_i, x_j).$$

Now, we don't need $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ anymore and may work on $\mathcal{F}(L_{sa}^2)$. If $\rho(x) = (\Omega, x \Omega)$ denotes the state corresponding to the vacuum vector, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (\Omega, u_{\mathcal{F}}(x_1) \cdots u_{\mathcal{F}}(x_m) \Omega) &= \sum_{(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_{m/2}, j_{m/2}) \in NCP_m} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} (x_{i_k}, x_{j_k}) \\ &= \phi(U_{\infty}(x_1) \cdots U_{\infty}(x_m)). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that $\mathcal{M}$ is spatially isomorphic to $\{u_{\mathcal{F}}(x) | x \in L_{sa}^2\}'' \subset B(\mathcal{F}(L_{sa}^2))$. This formula also provides the crucial link to the free quasi-free states in free probability discussed by Shlyakhtenko [S1, Remark 2.6]. Indeed, the algebra $\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{U}_t)$ is generated by semicircular elements $\{s(h) | h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$ satisfying

$$\phi_U(s(h_1) \cdots s(h_m)) = 2^{-m} \sum_{(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_{m/2}, j_{m/2}) \in NCP_m} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} (x_{i_k}, x_{j_k})_U.$$

Here $(\cdot, \cdot)_U$ is a complex sesquilinear form given by

$$(x, x)_U = \left(\frac{2}{1 + A^{-1}} x, x \right),$$

where $U_t = A^{it}$ is a one parameter family of unitaries acting on the complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} + i\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and leaves $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ invariant. In our case, we have

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & f \\ \bar{f} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ \bar{g} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)_U = 2 \int f \bar{g} d_1 d\mu + 2 \int \bar{f} g d_2 d\mu.$$

Calculating the real part, we find the real scalar product is given by

$$(x, x)_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}} = 2 \int (\bar{f} g + \bar{g} f)(d_1 + d_2) d\mu.$$

However, by [S1, (2)], we have

$$(x, y)_U = (y, A^{-1} x)_U.$$

This implies

$$A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f \\ \bar{f} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{d_1}{d_2} f \\ \frac{d_2}{d_1} \bar{f} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We deduce

$$U_t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_1 \\ f_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_2^{it} d_1^{-it} f_1 \\ d_1^{it} d_2^{-it} f_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Shlyakhtenko's result [S1, Theorem 6.10], we obtain the following application.

Corollary 8.4. $\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(L_{sa}^2, U_t)$. If the multiplication operators associated to d_1/d_2 and d_2/d_1 have no point spectrum, then $\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$ is type III₁ factor.

Let us now describe how this applies to the operator space OH . We recall

$$d\mu(t) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{t(1-t)}} \quad \text{and} \quad d_1(t) = t, \quad d_2(t) = 1-t.$$

We consider $\ell_\infty(L_\infty([0, 1], \mu))$ with the product measure $\mu_\infty = m \otimes \mu$, m the counting measure. The space G is the quotient of $L_2^c((1 \otimes d_1^{-1})\mu_\infty) \oplus L_2^r((1 \otimes d_2^{-1})\mu_\infty)$ with respect to subspace E spanned by elements $(f, -f)$. In view of Lemma 6.6 and (8.2), we see that the mapping

$$\hat{u}_1 \circ (I_1, I_2)(f, g) = \hat{u}_1(f d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}, g d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = D_\phi u_\infty(f d_1^{-1}) + u_\infty(g d_2^{-1}) D_\phi$$

produces a 2-cb embedding u_1 of G . We may apply this to the unit vectors $f_k \in G$ and find

$$b_k = u_1(f_k) = D_\phi u_\infty(e_k 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]} d_1^{-1}) + u_\infty(e_k 1_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]} d_2^{-1}) D_\phi.$$

Note again that $u_\infty(e_k 1_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]} d_2^{-1})$ and $u_\infty(1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]} d_1^{-1})$ are linear combinations of semicircular random variables and b_k is the restriction of the sum of vector states

$$\psi_k(T) = ((u_\infty(e_k 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]} d_1^{-1}))^* \Omega, T \Omega) + (\Omega, T u_\infty(e_k 1_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]} d_2^{-1}) \Omega).$$

According to condition iii) above, $\mathcal{M}_\infty = \mathcal{M}(\mu_\infty, 1 \otimes d_1, 1 \otimes d_2)$ is an infinite free product of $\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$

$$(\mathcal{M}_\infty, \phi) = *_{i=1}^\infty (\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2), \phi).$$

Indeed, the moment formula 8.1 tells us that the algebra $A_k = \pi_{e_k} \mathcal{M}_\infty$ are all isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$, free from each other and their union generates $\mathcal{M}_\infty$. This means that the b_k 's are 'free copies' (in the sense of L_1) of b_1 . (Note also that the construction of $\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$ only requires one limit procedure because ϕ_d is a state in that case. In view of Corollary 8.4, we know that $\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$ and $\mathcal{M}_\infty$ are type III₁. Using condition iv), we see that there is a *-preserving homomorphism $\rho : B(\ell_2) \rightarrow CP_\phi^\sigma(\mathcal{M}_{1 \otimes d})$, the normal completely positive ϕ -preserving maps on $\mathcal{M}_{1 \otimes d}$, given by

$$\rho(v) = \pi_{v \otimes id_{L_2(\mu)}}.$$

Using the definition of π_v it is easily checked that ρ is continuous with respect to the strong topology and weak topology on bounded sets. In particular, if v_n converges to 0 weakly, $\rho(v_n)$ converges to $\rho(0)(x) = \phi(x)$. This provides an alternative way of showing that $\mathcal{M}_\infty$ is a factor and that the only space invariant element is the identity. It is easily checked that

$$\pi_v^*(u_\infty(f) D_\phi) = u_\infty(v^* f) D_\phi.$$

In particular, the space $F_{oh} = \text{span}\{b_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is homogeneous.

Problem 8.5. Is F_{oh} completely isometrically isomorphic to OH ?

Problem 8.6. Are $\mathcal{M}_\infty$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mu, d_1, d_2)$ isomorphic?

The same arguments apply to $C_p = [R, C]_{\frac{1}{p}}$ using μ_α and the same densities d_1 and d_2 . A posteriori, one can also show directly that the image of $u_\infty K(N, \sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2})^*$ is completely complemented and therefore obtain an alternative prove for the embedding of OH and in general $[C, R]_{\frac{1}{p}}$.

REFERENCES

- [C1] A. Connes, *Classification of injective factors*, Ann. of Math. **104** (1976), 585–609.
- [C2] A. Connes, *Une classification des facteurs de type III*, Ann. sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **6**, 1973, 132–252.
- [Dk1] K. Dykema; *Factoriality and Connes’ invariant $T(M)$ for free products of von Neumann algebras*; J. reine. angew. Math. **450** (1994), 159–180.
- [Dk2] K. Dykema; *Free products of finite dimensional and other von Neumann algebras with respect to non-tracial states*; Fields Inst. Commun. **12** (1997), D. Voiculescu ed., pp. 41–88.
- [Dk3] K. Dykema; *Exactness of reduced amalgamated free product C^* -algebras exact*; to appear in Forum Mathematicum
- [EJR] E. Effros, M. Junge and Z.-J. Ruan, *Integral mappings and the principle of local reflexivity for non-commutative L^1 -spaces*, Ann. of Math. **151** (2000), 59–92.
- [ER1] E. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan, *The Grothendieck-Pietsch and Dvoretzky-Rogers theorem for operator spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **122** (1994), 428–450.
- [ER2] E. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan, *Operator spaces*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, New Series **23**, Oxford University Press, New York 2000.
- [Gr] U. Groh, *Uniform Ergodic Theorems for Identity preserving Schwartz maps on W^* -algebras*; J. Operator Theory **11** (1984) 395–404.
- [H1] U. Haagerup, *L^p -spaces associated with an arbitrary von Neumann algebra*, Algèbres d’opérateurs et leurs applications en physique mathématique (Proc. Colloq., Marseille, 1977), pp. 175–184, Colloq. Internat. CNRS, 274, CNRS, Paris, 1979.
- [H2] U. Haagerup, *Non-commutative integration theory*, Lecture given at the Symposium in Pure Mathematics of the Amer. Math. Soc., Queens University, Kingston, Ontario, 1980.
- [Ha] U. Haagerup, *Selfpolar forms, conditional expectations and the weak expectation property*; manuscript.
- [J1] M. Junge, *Doob’s inequality for non-commutative martingales*; J. reine angew. Math. **549** (2002), 149–190.
- [J2] M. Junge, *Fubini’s theorem for ultraproducts of noncommutative L_p spaces*; accepted for publication in the Canadian Journal of Mathematics.
- [J3] M. Junge, *A first attempt to the ‘little Grothendieck inequality’ for operator spaces*; preliminary version.
- [J4] M. Junge, *Embedding of OH in the predual of the hyperfinite type III_1 von Neumann algebra*, in preparation.
- [JP] M. Junge and G. Pisier, *Bilinear forms on exact operator spaces and $\mathcal{B}(H) \otimes \mathcal{B}(H)$* , Geometric and Functional Analysis **5**, No. 2 (1995), 329–363.
- [JX] M. Junge and Q. Xu, *Burkholder/Rosenthal inequalities for non-commutative martingales*; to appear in annals of probability.
- [Ki2] E. Kirchberg, *On nonsemsplit extensions, tensor products and exactness of group C^* -algebra*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), no. 3, 449–489.
- [KR] Kadison Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras I/II*; Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 15/16. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997
- [La] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **210**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [NSS] A. Nica, D. Shlyakhtenko, and R. Speicher *A characterization of freeness by a factorization property of the R -transform*; preprint
- [Pa] W. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B^* -algebras*, Trans. AMS **182** (1973), 443–468.
- [Ps1] G. Pisier, *Factorization of linear operators and the Geometry of Banach spaces*; CBMS (Regional conferences of the A.M.S.) no. 60 (1986), Reprinted with correction 1987.
- [Ps2] G. Pisier, *Projections from a von Neumann algebra onto a subalgebra*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **123** (1995), no. 1, 139–153.
- [Ps3] G. Pisier, *Exact operator spaces*, Recent Advances in Operator Algebras (Orléans, 1992). Astérisque No. 232 (1995), 159–186.
- [Ps4] G. Pisier, *The operator Hilbert space OH , complex interpolation and tensor norms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1996), no. 585.
- [Ps5] G. Pisier, *Non-commutative vector valued L_p -spaces and completely p -summing maps*, Astérisque No. **247**(1998).
- [Ps6] G. Pisier, *An introduction to the theory of operator spaces*, to appear.

- [Ps7] G. Pisier, *The Operator Hilbert Space OH and TYPE III von Neumann Algebras*, preprint
- [PS] G. Pisier and D. Shlyakhtenko, *Grothendieck's Theorem for Operator Spaces*, Invent. Math. **150** (2002), no. 1, 185–217.
- [PT] G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki; *The Radon-Nikodym theorem for von Neumann algebras*, Acta Math. **130** (1973), 53–87.
- [PW] W. Pusz and S. L. Woronowicz; *Functional calculus for sesquilinear forms and the purification map*, Rep. Mathematical Phys. **8** (1975), no. 2, 159–170.
- [Ra] Y. RAYNAUD: *On ultrapowers of non-commutative L_p -spaces*; J. Operator Theory 48(2002), 41-68.
- [S1] D. Shlyakhtenko, *Free quasi-free states*;
- [Sp1] R. Speicher, *A New Example of 'Independence' and 'White Noise'*; Probab. The Rel. Fields **84**, 141-159 (1990), 141–159.
- [St] S. Stratila, *Modular theory in operator algebras*, Abacus Press, 1981.
- [Ta] M. Takesaki, *Theorey of operator algebras, I*, Springer-Verlar, New York 1979.
- [Te] M. Terp, *L^p spaces associated with von Neumann algebras*, Notes, Math. Institute, Copenhagen Univ. 1981.
- [Vo] D. Voiculescu, *A strengthened asymptotic freeness result for random matrices with applications to free entropy*; Internat. Math. Res. Notices **1** (1998), 41–63.
- [VDN] D. Voiculescu, D.V. Voiculescu, K. Dykema and A. Nica: *Free Random Variables* CRM Monographs, vol. 1, American Math. Soc. 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, IL 61801

E-mail address: `junge@math.uiuc.edu`